

**INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING**

DATE: *November 19-20, 1992*
TIME: *8:30 a.m.*

PLACE: *Tyee Hotel, Quinault Ballroom
Olympia, Washington*

- Contents -

I.	MEETING CALLED TO ORDER	1
II.	MANAGEMENT AND STATUS REPORTS	2
III.	REPORT FROM ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL	4
IV.	LOCAL AGENCY 215 FUNDING PRESENTATIONS	4
V.	NONHIGHWAY ROAD PROJECTS	5
VI.	OFF ROAD VEHICLE PROJECTS	5
VII.	LWCF DISTRIBUTION	6
VIII.	CITY OF BELLINGHAM, WHATCOM CREEK PARK (MARITIME HERITAGE PARK) CONVERSION, IAC #80-054A AND NPS 53-00468	7
IX.	DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UPRIGHT CHANNEL CONVERSION IAC #76-700A	7
X.	COST INCREASE PROCESS	7
XI.	WWRP PROCESS REVIEW	7
XII.	STATE LAND STEWARDSHIP NEEDS	9
XIII.	LEGISLATION AND BUDGET DISCUSSION	11
XIV.	1993 MEETING SCHEDULE	12

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

SUMMARY MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING

DATE: November 19-20, 1992
TIME: 8:30 a.m.

PLACE: Tyee Hotel, Quinault Ballroom
Olympia, Washington

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Eliot Scull	Chair, Wenatchee
James R. Fox	Vice Chair, Friday Harbor
William Fearn	Spokane
Joe C. Jones	Seattle
Donna Mason	Vancouver
Cleve Pinnix	Director, Parks and Recreation Commission
Ted Price	Designee for the Honorable Brian Boyle, Commissioner of Public Lands, Department of Natural Resources
Jenene Fenton	Designee for Curt Smitch, Director, Department of Wildlife
Richard Costello	Designee for Robert Turner, Director, Department of Fisheries

IT IS INTENDED THAT THIS SUMMARY BE USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE "KIT" NOTEBOOK PROVIDED IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING.
A VERBATIM RECORDED TAPE OF THE MEETING'S PROCEEDINGS IS RETAINED BY IAC AS THE FORMAL RECORD OF MEETING.

I. MEETING CALLED TO ORDER

Opening welcome by Vice Chair, Jim Fox.

IAC members, as well as staff and audience participants, introduced themselves. Chairman Scull arrived shortly thereafter and assumed direction of the meeting. A quorum was determined to be present.

IAC Director Laura Eckert offered two items for additions to the agenda for this quarterly meeting. First item, that a conversion request from the Department of Natural Resources be added for late afternoon on November 19. Second item, that on Friday, November 20, Ted Price intended to have a letter to Committee members requesting consideration of a change to the list for the WWRP programs voted on at the September meeting. Staff recommended that action, if any, be taken during the General Public Comment section. Others present at that time would also have the opportunity to speak to the issue. Chairman Scull moved to proceed with the agenda as amended.

It was **moved** by Eliot Scull that the summary minutes of IAC's September 24-25, 1992 meeting be approved as distributed. **Motion was carried.**

II. MANAGEMENT AND STATUS REPORTS

The Director's report was presented by Laura Eckert. The following items were highlighted:

- NOVA {NonHighway Road and ORV} and local agencies' Init.-215 projects were evaluated, and are ready for review;
- The Projects Division has a new Chief, Eric Johnson; Management Services has a new Confidential Secretary, Jeanne Lawrence;
- Ruth Mahan, Chair of the "O & M" Task Force, will join us tomorrow and report on their completed effort, "Legacy At Risk;"
- The NOVA Planning process is underway, with a series of workshops;
- IAC was facilitator and editor for a new publication called "Land Protection," a Washington State handbook and now a local "bestseller;"
- The Director attended two National Conferences: the State's Organization for Boating Access and the National Recreation and Parks Association;
- The WWR Program is now under review for possible streamlining or other changes.
- Blue notebooks were provided to each Committee member as a reference notebook. The notebook contains all the pertinent statues governing IAC and many other statues pertaining to Outdoor Recreation. At future meetings, IAC staff will have a similar notebook on hand in order to better respond to pertinent questions.

The Management Services report was presented by Keith Lougheed who noted that revenues continue to exceed budgeted amounts in several categories.

The Project Services report was presented by Eric Johnson. The following points were highlighted:

- Project Services staff and sponsors are currently working on a total of 478 open projects;
- WWRP continues to take the majority of staff time. All Project sponsors are being advised to work toward completion of projects by June 30, 1993, and not expect time extensions;

- NOVA -- 16 NHR and 16 ORV projects are ready for presentation today. Staff has participated in NOVA Planning workshops that will help determine future funding and program direction;
- Ranking of 13 local agency I-215 projects has been completed and is ready for IAC review;
- Very aggressive campaign has taken place to advise eligible project sponsors of the upcoming funding cycle;
- Land and Water Conservation Fund: The National Park Service verbally informed IAC that the state of Washington will receive approximately \$487,000 for the federal fiscal year 1993;
- Ron Taylor has completed approximately 100 inspections. Staff will now follow-up to correct these identified problems;
- Personnel issues: will be promoting the Assistant Division Chief and will be advertising for a new project Manager 2 in order to get back to a full staff.

The Planning Services report was presented by Greg Lovelady. The following points were highlighted:

- Local plan and technical assistance program; we currently have 110 agencies eligible, based on local plan compliance.
- Land Protection: A Washington State Handbook (IAC Report 92-4) has just been published.
- Operation and Maintenance Task Force - status report: its report, Legacy at Risk, will soon be issued.
- Federal trail funding. Significant additional news for this meeting: the Forest Service has received an additional \$1 million for trails work on Forest Service property in the state of Washington;
- ISTE "Enhancement" funding: the first round of DOT funding is complete.
- Planning Division is continuing to administer eight NOVA grants;
- Status of NOVA plan: 2 workshops, attended by 44 people, have been conducted. More to follow in Spring '93.

III. REPORT FROM ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

Shannon Smith, Assistant Attorney General, gave the following general overview of the Open Public Meetings Act (OPM).

The purpose of the Act is to ensure that state agencies are held accountable to the public for decisions the agencies make.

Public agencies always have to give proper notice for regularly scheduled meetings. The Open Public Meetings Act has a fairly broad notice requirement and anyone can register to be on a list to receive notices of specific meetings. Any action taken by a state agency in violation of the notice requirement is null and void and has absolutely no effect.

There are exceptions to the Open Public Meetings Act in order to have executive sessions that do not include the public. There is a laundry list of those exceptions. A few examples are discussions on a real estate purchase contract; anything that relates to national security; or confidential materials regarding disciplining an employee.

Discussion ensued regarding whether a sub-group gathering of the Committee would violate the OPM. It was concluded that members can indeed show up to things like NOVA workshops or evaluation sessions and either be "participants to the extent it is appropriate, or observers." More than four members gathering in a sub-group may raise OPM notice problems. Committee-level formal decision cannot be made without having gone through the formal notice process.

IV. LOCAL AGENCY INITIATIVE-215 FUNDING PRESENTATIONS

Eric Johnson, Chief of Project Services for IAC, opened this segment of the meeting and explained that thirteen local agency projects had been evaluated for consideration and would be reviewed in the normal manner. Two Tables were used for reference points. Both Tables are attached for reference.

The meeting was then turned over to IAC staff members Marguerite Austin, Don Clark, and Scott Chapman. Using slides and oral narration, staff presented the above referenced projects to the Committee.

The Committee Chairman opened the meeting for public testimony to be added to the letters previously provided to IAC on these projects. The following individuals offered remarks and their position for a specific project.

92-368D	Columbia River Launch	
	Karen Franzen, Willow Grove Action Committee	- Oppose
92-368D	Columbia River Launch	
	Captain John P. Beale, Willow Grove Action Committee	- Oppose

92-368D Columbia River Launch
James Fletcher, Assistant Director, Cowlitz County Parks- Support

Discussion followed regarding the feasibility and risk management of the Willow Grove site. In particular, there was detailed dialogue on land use issues, liability, and IAC participation to study on-site feasibility. Members were reminded of the ten percent cap of IAC money for engineering purposes.

Jenene Fenton made the motion to accept staff recommendations for distribution of I-215 funds. **Motion passed.**

V. NONHIGHWAY ROAD PROJECTS

After a short break, Eric Johnson and Project Services staff presented the Nonhighway Road Projects. Sixteen projects were evaluated for consideration and review. Two Tables were again used for reference points. Please refer to Table 1 and II for project ranking and order of NHR presentation at the meeting.

VI. OFF-ROAD VEHICLE PROJECTS

Project Services staff continued with Off-Road Vehicle presentations by summarizing each proposal (Tables I and II). This was followed by distribution of funding recommendations and the luncheon break.

Chairman Scull reconvened the meeting at 1:00 p.m. and invited public testimony. The following individuals offered remarks and their position on specific projects.

92-257D Langille and Juniper Ridge Susan Mozer, from Bellevue representing a group of hikers	- Opposed
92-257D Langille and Juniper Ridge Jean Worthen, Thursday Hikers	- Opposed
92-257D Langille and Juniper Ridge Rick Dahl, Northwest Motorcycle Association	- Supports
92-251D Hemlock Lake Universal Recreation Site Chuck Frayer, Regional Accessibility Specialist, Forest Service	- Supports
92-250D Middle Fork Trail 1003 Gary Paul, Trails Coord., Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie NF, Forest Service	- Supports
92-272 & 3D Lake Constance/Seven Lakes Basin Phase 2 Ruth Scott, Resource Specialist, Olympic National Park	-Supports
92-257D Langille and Juniper Ridge Greg Ball, Executive Director, Washington Trails Association	- Opposed

92-257D Langille and Juniper Ridge
Ira Spring, citizen - Opposed

92-257D Langille and Juniper Ridge
Rod Jones, ORV Representative, Northwest Motorcycle Association,
Blue Ribbon Coalition, and IAC-State
Trail Advisory Committee - Supports

92-257D Langille and Juniper Ridge
Marc Ramsey, Northwest Trail Riders Association - Supports

92-383D Quartz Creek Trail #5
92-713D Fall Creek Trailhead Construction
92-286D Chiwawa Equestrian Phase 2
Loren McGovern, Executive Director, Backcountry Horsemen
of Washington - Supports

92-257D Langille and Juniper Ridge
Dave Olson, Randle Ranger, U.S. Forest Service - Supports

After some discussion regarding the Langille and Juniper Ridge project, Cleve Pinnix **moved** to accept staff's Nonhighway Road Projects funding recommendation: Table II, \$678,281, with Icicle Gorge Trail as the last funded project. Eric Johnson noted a correction to this list: the Seal Rock Day Use Facility was shifted to the number thirteen position with a corrected score of 92.17. **The motion passed.**

Joe Jones again **moved** to accept staff's recommendations for Off-Road Vehicle Projects funding. Jenene Fenton re-stated her concern regarding using IAC as a land-use decision-making body. Rich Costello shared this concern. Following more discussion, the **motion passed.**

VII. LWCF DISTRIBUTION

Eric Johnson made the "Land and Water Conservation Fund" distribution presentation. He informed the Committee that Washington State had received verbal notification it would receive approximately \$487,000 of Land and Water Conservation Fund monies for fiscal year 1993. Mr. Johnson then proposed that LWCF for 1993 fiscal year be switched with state funds and the Interagency Committee Director be authorized to allocate those monies in the following manner: Approximately \$243,500 would be spent to fund IAC Project #92-136A, City of Bellevue's Mercer Slough; the other one-half of LWCF 1993 monies would be allocated to one or more project(s) selected by the Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission. A similar switch was done March, 1992 with IAC approval to "facilitate the best fund management for the citizens of the State of Washington."

Jenene Fenton **moved** the adoption of staff recommendation concerning the Land and Water Conservation Fund distribution. **Motion passed.**

VIII. CITY OF BELLINGHAM, WHATCOM CREEK PARK (MARITIME HERITAGE PARK) CONVERSION, IAC #80-054A AND NPS #53-00468

Don Clark, Project Services staff, presented the City of Bellingham's "Conversion of Land Use" request. Summary information and a map of the request to convert from 16,500 square feet of park property to 25,000 square feet of land contiguous to the park and along Whatcom Creek was presented.

Staff recommendation was to approve the conversion because all required criteria had been met and the replacement property is of higher recreation utility and higher fair market value. Bill Fearn made a motion to accept the conversion. **The motion carried.**

IX. DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, UPRIGHT CHANNEL CONVERSION IAC #76-700A

Scott Chapman, Project Manager for IAC, presented the Department of Natural Resources(DNR) conversion request to sell .92 acres of their Upright Channel property to the Orcas Power and Light Company (OPALCO). OPALCO needs that property so it can construct a transmission line terminal facility. DNR also asked for IAC approval to "bank" the proceeds in a replacement account for a future replacement acquisition.

After some discussion between staff, Committee members and a representative from OPALCO, Cleve Pinnix made a motion to accept the conversion. **The motion passed.**

The Committee agreed to move to the Cost Increase portion of the agenda and return to the WWRP Process thereafter.

X. COST INCREASE PROCESS

IAC's Chief of Project Services, Eric Johnson, reviewed the Committee's need for finding solutions to address cost increases of WWRP projects. Mr. Johnson noted that the Committee has not accepted projects with such a need up to this point. Jenene Fenton commented that the Committee may be getting into line-item or project-specific contracts in 1993-95 that would require cost increases. Committee Chair Scull requested an update on this task at the March, 1993, meeting.

XI. WWRP PROCESS REVIEW

Committee members moved to an informal circular chair arrangement for this portion of the meeting.

Greg Lovelady began by indicating the discussion would cover information gained to date from a review process initiated by IAC staff prior to September's WWRP funding meeting. This review has involved an analysis of correspondence, questionnaires, and

several focus group meetings. Plans are to continue the review until the March 1993 meeting when specific program proposals will be made.

He expressed the hope that impetus for these proposals would come from outside the agency; staff's role should be that of facilitation. After the information gathering phase, discussion papers will be drafted and distributed for review by interested parties. Today's discussion will include recent letters, such as the one submitted by Cleve Pinnix.

Mr. Lovelady then highlighted each discussion point:

1. "Plan Consistency" Evaluation Criterion.
 - a. Is an inappropriate amount of sponsor staff time needed to respond to this criterion, especially when compared to total evaluation points made available?
 - b. Should IAC staff grade this criterion with either "yes, consistent with a plan" or "no, not consistent with a plan"? Should a project with a "no" grade be ruled ineligible?
 - c. Should a plan adopted by a sponsor in support of a project be worth more evaluation points than a plan which supports a project, yet has not been adopted by the sponsor?
2. "Population" Criterion, Assessability.
 - a. Are more precise measures of populations near project sites needed (e.g. census tract information)?
 - b. Is it important to evaluate for visitor time needed to access a project, which may take barriers into account, even though this will occupy more staff time? Or, is straight-line distance acceptable?
3. "Core" and "Buffer" Sites. A "buffer" site helps shield an already protected "core" site; a "core" site normally harbors protected species. Should evaluation scores for "buffer" sites be based on intrinsic values, or on the values contained in the areas they shield?
4. Multi-Site Projects. It is not uncommon for some sponsors to submit four or five similar acquisition proposals, yet only expect funds for one or two. This is done due to the attrition inherent in many land acquisitions. How should evaluation team members score such proposals?
5. Standardize and Publish Definitions.
 - a. Are improved definitions for natural areas, critical habitats, and urban wildlife habitat needed?
 - b. In the Habitat Conservation Account's "diversity of habitats" criterion, is it necessary to define qualifying habitat types?

6. Adopt A Rule Limiting Funds Requested? Such a rule-
 - a. Would spread funding over more projects.
 - b. May diminish that category's access to "unallocated" funds.
 - c. May thwart agencies with large projects and no secondary funding.

7. Is It Necessary to Better Define WWRP's Objectives?
 - a. Should there be concern regarding whether or not the program is protecting many small parcels or just large parcels?
 - b. Is it important to consider an equitable geographic distribution, or balance rural and urban projects?
 - c. Should the total projects or dollars to one sponsor be limited?

8. Are Actions Needed to Streamline the Process?
 - a. Is the WWRP application process so complex that it puts new sponsors at a disadvantage, and if so, how can this be avoided?
 - b. Can a way be found to help agencies finance the their involvement in the application process?
 - c. Is there a role qualified volunteers can play in assisting sponsors?
 - d. To reduce the work load, should annual applications be discarded in favor of biennial applications?
 - e. Can application forms be standardized? Evaluation forms?

9. Other Possible Issues.
 - a. Would it be a net benefit to add more representation to the evaluation team make-up (e.g. shoreline management and/or wetlands experts).
 - b. Do evaluation teams occupy too much time asking questions not related to the evaluation instrument?
 - c. It is appropriate for evaluation team members to represent a sponsor and present projects for evaluation? Or, put another way, is it appropriate for IAC to burden its member agencies by requiring that they provide two staff members for the evaluation (one to present, one to evaluate)?

Following this open discussion, the meeting recessed at approximately 4:45 p.m.

XII. STATE LAND STEWARDSHIP NEEDS

The meeting reconvened at 8:30 a.m. on Friday, November 20, 1993. Chairman Scull introduced Ruth Mahan, Chair of the Task Force, for presentation of the operation and maintenance funding "Legacy at Risk" report.

The report was prepared by the State Wildlife and Recreation Lands Management Task Force (a group of seven citizens, five agency representatives and four legislators) for the Governor and 1993 Legislature.

The Task Force, staffed by IAC, recommends seven revenue sources to support the account created in the 1992 Legislative Session. Five recommendations are intended to obligate all state residents, and some visitors. Two recommendations would obligate direct users of state lands not typically already paying a fairly large proportion through fishing licenses and other fees.

The need is approximately \$42 million a biennium in shortfall for ongoing management. A one time operations "catch-up" of \$61 million would also be phased-in over four biennia. The total impact of these recommendations would be approximately \$58 million per biennium.

A summary of the revenue sources identified:

Motor vehicle excise tax. The current rate is 2.2 percent of the fair market value of an automobile; recommended is a .05 percent increase. This would generate an estimated \$25 million each biennium.

Off-road vehicle use permit fee. A \$10 per year increase in the current fee would generate about \$800,000 each biennium.

Automobile rental fee. Imposition of a \$2 per day rental fee would generate \$24 - \$30 million each biennium

Retail sales tax on motor vehicle fuel. An increase of .5 percent would generate \$22 million each biennium.

Real estate excise tax. An increase of .1 percent, with the first \$100,000 of purchase price excluded, would yield between \$16 - \$20 million each biennium.

Retail sales tax on recreational equipment. A 2 percent tax on retail sales of selected recreational equipment would generate between \$13 and \$25 million each biennium.

State lands recreational use permit fee. A \$20 per automobile per year fee would generate between \$3.4 - \$6.8 million. (An extensive public relations and enforcement effort would be required; costs would be approximately \$1.5 million.)

Ruth Mahan expressed a special commendation to IAC staff member Jeff Frost for his expertise and personal sacrifice in helping the Task Force with the report.

Chairman Scull thanked Ms. Mahan for her presentation and suggested the Committee respond either with an endorsement for the concept and the need for O&M funds or an endorsement of the

report. It was agreed a letter to the Legislature would be the best course of action. It was the consensus that the letter should be sent in the next week or two. Jim Fox moved "that IAC recognize the need for a permanent revenue source for stewardship of state conservation and recreation land and that we urge the legislature to address and meet this need in the upcoming session and that be reflected in a letter to go out under our Chairman's name as soon as possible."

This motion was amended to include commendation for the Task Force and their good work. **Motion and amendment were passed.**

XIII. LEGISLATION AND BUDGET DISCUSSION

Director Eckert offered several informational updates to the Committee touching on the budget relating to IAC, an overview of what's happening with the Legislature, and gubernatorial transition issues.

BUDGET ITEMS

As to the IAC Operating Budget -- the agency requests to add one more project manager and one more secretary were turned down. However, no operating cuts have been imposed.

As to the capital budget, all of the programs (215, NOVA, Firearms Range) except WWRP appear to be straightforward as far as Office of Financial Management recommendations.

On WWRP, the IAC Committee put forward a list of \$111 million worth of projects plus alternates. OFM may recommend to Gardner's budget a level of \$85 million for WWRP.

The detailed WWRP list will not be in the budget but will be forwarded separately to the Legislature. IAC staff will maintain a computer program to answer "what-ifs" for list changes.

Staff also reminded the Committee on the process in the WWRP statute. IAC submits a list (of projects), the Governor has an opportunity to remove projects from that list but not to add projects to it. The Governor then submits that list to the Legislature. The Legislature also has the opportunity to remove projects but not add projects to the list.

OFM may have some discomfort with the length of the alternates list. The budget office may want to eliminate the bottom of the list. They do understand the Committee's purpose of using 50% more projects.

Cleve Pinnix offered further explanation on the Committee's purpose of using 50% more projects. The amount of overage in that list that IAC submitted varies a great deal by what that final target number is. He commented that we have to be sure

the people understand and that the list is large enough to allow flexibility, especially where there is additional project work to consider.

IAC Director concluded that the Governor's office seems to understand the process, respects it, and will probably accept the list, but doesn't want to give false hopes either.

LEGISLATIVE AND TRANSITION OVERVIEW

Director Eckert noted that IAC's citizen members could participate in helping the 1993 Legislature understand IAC's present requests. Any citizen input would be most helpful by April, the start of serious budget discussions.

A brief overview of legislative committee assignments followed. The Director also noted that PDC forms will be sent shortly and have to be filed after the first of the year.

As Director Eckert excused herself from the room, a discussion followed regarding a letter to Governor-elect Lowry congratulating him and requesting that Ms. Eckert be retained as Director. The letter was written for Chairman Scull's signature and he wanted the full Committee's approval before mailing. Jim Fox **moved** that this be done. **The motion passed.**

XIV. 1993 MEETING SCHEDULE

Director Eckert offered a proposed 1993 meeting schedule for IAC. Specific conflicts were discussed, especially the July dates and the September location.

The following schedule was agreed on:

March 25 and 26	Olympia	NOVA E&E NOVA Maint & Op Firearms Range
July 29 and 30	Fort Worden Port Angeles (preferred)	WWRP 1993-95
Sept. 23 and 24	Seattle/Bellevue	Second Year WWRP
November 18 and 19	Olympia	NOVA NHR & ORV Local Agency Init. 215

Jim Fox made a formal motion to adopt the schedule; the **motion passed.**

XV. GENERAL SESSION/PUBLIC COMMENT

A letter was distributed to the Committee by Ted Price, DNR (copy attached). The intent of the letter was to re-prioritize DNR's Rattlesnake Ridge and Table Mountain projects. Rattlesnake Ridge would move to position number four and Table Mountain move to position number seven in the Natural Area Category. Discussion followed on how this would affect other project rankings. Ted Price **moved** that the proposal to re-rank projects under "Natural Areas" be adopted. **Motion passed.** The adopted Natural Areas list is attached for reference.

There was no further business to come before the meeting and the business meeting portion was concluded at 10:50 a.m.

Facilitator Caryn Spain led the group in a retreat discussion, including the Agency's mission statement, vision and specific goal areas for the next 2-5 years. The Retreat was open to public participation.

Following the Retreat portion, Chair Scull adjourned the meeting at 2:35 p.m.

XIV. TAB #12: 1993 MEETING SCHEDULE

Director Eckert again offered a review for setting the 1993 meeting schedule for IAC. Specific conflicts were discussed especially the July dates and the December location.

The following schedule was agreed on:

March 25 and 26	Olympia	NOVA E&E NOVA Maint & Op Firearms Range
July 29 and 30	Fort Worden/Port Angeles (preferred)	WWRP 1993-95
September 23 and 24	Seattle/Bellevue	Second Year WWRP
November 18 and 19	Olympia	NOVA NHR & ORV Local Agency Init. 215

A formal motion was made to adopt the schedule. There was a second to that motion and the motion passed.

Under the **GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT** portion of the Meeting Agenda, a letter was distributed to the Committee (copy attached) by Ted Price. The intent of the letter was to re-prioritize Rattlesnake Ridge and Table Mountain. Rattlesnake Ridge would move to position number four and Table Mountain move to position number seven in the Natural Category. Discussion followed on how this would affect other project rankings. There was a motion and second for the Committee to endorse the letter. This concluded the business meeting.

IAC business portion of the meeting was adjourned. The Committee continued with the open meeting dealing with strategic planning retreat.

IAC APPROVAL CERTIFIED BY:



Eliot W. Scull, M.D., Chair

7/29/93

Date

1992
INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
 Initiative 215 (Boating Facilities) Projects
 Table I

Rank	Score	Project Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	Request	Match	Total
1	143.15	92-260D	Central Waterfront Phase 2	Port of Seattle	300,000	2,742,678	3,042,678
2	134.84	92-360D	Wyatt Park Boating Facilities	Snohomish County	187,600	187,600	375,200
3	134.50	92-290D	14th NW Shilshole Bay	Seattle	69,968	69,969	139,937
4	133.00	92-311D	Lake Tapps Boat Launch	Pierce County	300,000	311,385	611,385
5	120.83	92-291D	Sunnyside Ramp Improvement	Seattle	36,420	36,420	72,840
6	120.68	92-372D	Marina Pumpout/Restroom Float	Oak Harbor	30,992	30,993	61,985
(7)	120.67	92-368D	Columbia River Launch	Cowlitz County	300,000	455,112	755,112
8	120.00	92-386D	City Pier Float Renovation	Port Angeles	103,700	103,700	207,400
9	115.16	92-314D	Salsbury Point Park	Kitsap County	189,841	189,841	379,682
10	111.67	92-387A/D	Raymond Riverfront Park	Raymond	174,000	174,000	348,000
11	106.51	92-301D	Conconully Lake Boat Launch	Okanogan County	71,256	71,256	142,512
12	104.49	92-388D	Columbia River Transient Moorage	Vancouver, City and Port	288,755	288,755	577,510
13	73.82	92-374D	Lopez Village Park	San Juan County	29,412	44,118	73,530
					2,081,944	4,705,827	6,787,771

1992
INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
Initiative 215 (Boating Facilities) Projects
Table II

Rank	Score	Project Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	Request	Match	Total
1	143.15	92-260D	Central Waterfront Phase 2	Port of Seattle	300,000	2,742,678	3,042,678
2	134.84	92-360D	Wyatt Park Boating Facilities	Snohomish County	187,600	187,600	375,200
3	134.50	92-290D	14th NW Shilshole Bay	Seattle	69,968	69,969	139,937
4	133.00	92-311D	Lake Tapps Boat Launch	Pierce County	300,000	311,385	611,385
5	120.83	92-291D	Sunnyside Ramp Improvement	Seattle	36,420	36,420	72,840
6	120.68	92-372D	Marina Pumpout/Restroom Float	Oak Harbor	30,992	30,993	61,985
7	120.67	92-368D	Columbia River Launch	Cowlitz County	247,000	296,950	543,950
8	120.00	92-386D	City Pier Float Renovation	Port Angeles	103,700	103,700	207,400
9	115.16	92-314D	Salisbury Point Park	Kitsap County	189,841	189,841	379,682
10	111.67	92-387A/D	Raymond Riverfront Park	Raymond	174,000	174,000	348,000
11	106.51	92-301D	Conconully Lake Boat Launch	Okanogan County	71,256	71,256	142,512
12	104.49	92-388D	Columbia River Transient Moorage	Vancouver, City and Port	288,755	288,755	577,510
13	73.82	92-374D	Lopez Village Park	San Juan County	29,412	44,118	73,530
					2,028,944	4,547,665	6,576,609

Total Funds Available	\$2,072,879
Total Request	<u>2,028,944</u>
Remaining Balance	\$ 43,935

1992
INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
NOVA - Nonhighway Road Projects

Table I

Rank	Score	Project Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	Request	Match	Total
1	116.50	92-248D	Low Divide to Chicago Camp	Olympic National Park	24,845	25,000	49,845
2	113.83	92-377D	Lewis River Horsecamp	USFS Gifford Pinchot NF	51,602	36,154	87,756
3	111.49	92-300D	Silver Falls Trail	USFS Wenatchee NF	85,800	100,000	185,800
4	111.00	92-273D	Seven Lakes Basin Phase 2	Olympic National Park	43,000	44,600	87,600
5	107.99	92-249D	Cape Alava Reconstruction	Olympic National Park	34,000	35,846	69,846
6	107.17	92-269D	Seal Rock Day Use Facility	USFS Olympic NF	57,316	85,974	143,290
7	104.99	92-383D	Quartz Creek Trail #5	USFS Gifford Pinchot NF	100,000	100,000	200,000
8	104.49	92-713D	Fall Creek Trailhead Construction	Natural Resources	15,890	7,560	23,450
9	104.16	92-251D	Hemlock Lake Universal Recreation Site	USFS Gifford Pinchot NF	70,830	89,000	159,830
10	103.83	92-286D	Chiwawa Equestrian Phase 2	USFS Wenatchee NF	80,670	9,000	89,670
11	103.50	92-250D	Middle Fork Trail 1003	USFS Mount Baker Snoqualmie NF	63,074	93,000	156,074
12	103.34	92-272D	Lake Constance Rehabilitation	Olympic National Park	24,000	24,750	48,750
13	102.83	92-294D	Iceicle Gorge Trail	USFS Wenatchee NF	90,818	27,750	118,568
14	88.83	92-715D	Cedar/Cold Creeks Upgrade	Natural Resources	52,030	18,100	70,130
14	88.83	92-376D	Big Creek Falls Trail	USFS Gifford Pinchot NF	37,500	20,000	57,500
15	87.00	92-253D	Godman Trailhead	USFS Umatilla NF	26,693	2,000	28,693
					858,068	718,734	1,576,802

1992
 INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
 NOVA - Nonhighway Road Projects

Table II

Rank	Score	Project Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	Request	Match	Total
1	116.50	92-248D	Low Divide to Chicago Camp	Olympic National Park	24,845	25,000	49,845
2	113.83	92-377D	Lewis River Horsecamp	USFS Gifford Pinchot NF	51,602	36,154	87,756
3	111.49	92-300D	Silver Falls Trail	USFS Wenatchee NF	85,800	100,000	185,800
4	111.00	92-273D	Seven Lakes Basin Phase 2	Olympic National Park	43,000	44,600	87,600
5	107.99	92-249D	Cape Alava Reconstruction	Olympic National Park	34,000	35,846	69,846
6	104.99	92-383D	Quartz Creek Trail #5	USFS Gifford Pinchot NF	100,000	100,000	200,000
7	104.49	92-713D	Fall Creek Trailhead Construction	Natural Resources	15,890	7,560	23,450
8	104.16	92-251D	Hemlock Lake Universal Recreation Site	USFS Gifford Pinchot NF	70,830	89,000	159,830
9	103.83	92-286D	Chiwawa Equestrian Phase 2	USFS Wenatchee NF	80,670	9,000	89,670
10	103.50	92-250D	Middle Fork Trail 1003	USFS Mount Baker Snoqualmie NF	63,074	93,000	156,074
11	103.34	92-272D	Lake Constance Rehabilitation	Olympic National Park	24,000	24,750	48,750
12	102.83	92-294D	Leicle Gorge Trail	USFS Wenatchee NF	84,570	33,998	118,568
13	92.17	92-269D	Seal Rock Day Use Facility	USFS Olympic NF	-0-	-0-	-0-
14	88.83	92-715D	Cedar/Cold Creeks Upgrade	Natural Resources	-0-	-0-	-0-
14	88.83	92-376D	Big Creek Falls Trail	USFS Gifford Pinchot NF	-0-	-0-	-0-
15	87.00	92-253D	Godman Trailhead	USFS Umatilla NF	-0-	-0-	-0-

Funds Available	\$678,281
Project Funding Recommendation	<u>678,281</u>
Remaining NHR Fund Balance	-0-

**1992
INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION
NOVA - Off Road Vehicle Projects**

Table I

Rank	Score	Project Number	Project Name	Project Sponsor	Request	Match	Total
1	105.42	92-710D	Middle Waddell Campground	Natural Resources	78,900	67,900	146,800
2	102.78	92-270D	Naches 4x4 Stream Crossing	USFS Wenatchee NF	6,855	4,760	11,615
3	100.92	92-258D	Blue Lake Trail Development Phase II	USFS Gifford Pinchot NF	95,000	62,000	157,000
4	96.65	92-714D	Tree Phones Shelter	Natural Resources	31,500	31,500	63,000
5	94.15	92-257D	Langille and Juniper Ridge	USFS Gifford Pinchot NF	61,000	49,000	110,000
6	93.35	92-259D	ORV Sports Park Capital Project	Thurston County	223,623	50,713	274,336
7	91.71	92-274P	ORV Trail System	Darrington	75,000	-0-	75,000
8	91.08	92-716D	Elfendahl Pass Trailhead	Natural Resources	136,830	15,370	152,200
9	89.61	92-255D	Horn Rapids Capital Improvements	Richland	90,388	-0-	90,388
10	89.43	92-712D	Bordeaux Campground Renovation	Natural Resources	38,960	4,440	43,400
11	86.21	92-711D	Jones Creek Trailhead Renovation	Natural Resources	39,700	4,600	44,300
12	85.72	92-275D	Middle Fork Trail	USFS Wenatchee NF	24,150	-0-	24,150
13	85.29	92-266D	Lower Mad Trail Bridge	USFS Wenatchee NF	39,875	-0-	39,875
14	80.14	92-278P	Little Naches ORV Sites	USFS Wenatchee NF	35,206	-0-	35,206
15	57.21	92-277D	Manastash Ridge	USFS Wenatchee NF	24,550	-0-	24,550
16	53.42	92-382P	Upper Entiat Valley Trail	USFS Wenatchee NF	29,720	-0-	29,720
					1,031,257	290,283	1,321,540