

- I. Opening of the Meeting, Determination of a Quorum, Introductions, Additions and Approval of the Minutes of Oct. 29-30, 1973, Additions to the Agenda

Resignation of Keith Artz announced
Roger Syverson - Rec. Res. Spec. IV announced.

III. STATUS REPORTS

- A. Fiscal Status Reports:
 1. Disbursement Record - Local Agency Projects
 2. Fund Summary
 3. Interfund Loan Report

Approval of the Minutes - October 29-30, 1973 (a quorum was declared after mtg. started)

Additions or Deletions to the Agenda

II PUBLIC HEARING - PUBLIC RECORDS SYSTEM, IAC - TO CONFORM WITH INIT. 276 (RCW 43.17)

Motion of approval - and appointment of Milton H. Martin as Records Officer

III A 4. BOR-LWCF Status

- III A 5. Dept. of Motor Vehicles - Marine Gas Tax presentation
(Doctor Carl Klingberg - Peggy O'Neill)

Returned to III 4. LWCF Funding motion tabled re 50-50 state BOR funding of Special Contingency Fund

III B. State and Local Project Status Report

- III C. Planning Status Report I. Planning Graph
 2. BOR Planning Grant
 3. Local Action Conformance Report

IV F. L. Legislation

1. HB 791, Land Use Act
Ron McConnell presentation

IV E. 2. Mercer Slough-City of Bellevue/State Parks, IAC #71-022A MOTION RE DEADLINES FOR CITY OF BELLEVUE RE DONATION (ACCEPTABLE DEED, APPRIASED VALUE, ETC.)

IV A. (1) and (2) Procedural Guidelines
Interpretive Centers and Development/Maintenance guidelines DELAYED until April 1974

IV B. DNR Lease Program
Action DELAYED until April 1974 meeting

IV C. Trails Program
MOTION TO APPROVE INITIAL CORRIDORS

IV D. Skaquit River Study - MOTION TO APPROVE ALTERNATIVE D CLASSIFICATION

IV E. (Returned to Project Changes)

1. Dept. of Game, Fishing Float Development #71-606D. Approved cost increase & change in scope

IV #E 3. City of Seattle, Street Conversion Contingency Projects 71-001D 71-002A
WITHDRAWN APPROVED BY COMMITTEE TO WITHDRAW

IV E 4. City of Longview, Longview Bicycle Pedestrian Trail 72-064A
MOTION TO REVIEW PROJECT SCOPE ON DECEMBER 11, 1973

IV F. Legislation (Returned to legislation)

2. S 2233 - Hells Canyon National Recreational Area
Held over until December 11, 1973 - for discussion

III A IV (continued discussion of BOR/LWCF):
WITHDRAWAL OF BIGGS' MOTION RE 50-50
MOTION TO NOTIFY ALL AGENCIES RE SPECIAL CONTINGENCY FUND OPPORTUNITY
AND CALL SPECIAL MEETING OF IAC IF ANY PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED FOR SAME

TUESDAY, December 11, 1973

IV E. 4. (continued discussion)
City of Longview, Longview Bicycle Pedestrian Trail 72-064A MOTION TO APPROVE
CHANGE IN SCOPE

IV F 3. Other Legislation

- a. Engrossed House Bill 582 - Rivers MOTION TO SUPPORT
- b. HB 87 - Watercraft, Fuel Tax Refunds eliminated - MOTION TO SUPPORT
- c. Init. 215 - RCW 43:99 - MOTION TO AMEND - APPROVED BY COMMITTEE

IV F 2. S 2233 - Hells Canyon National Recreational Area
MOTION TO ENDORSE AND SUPPORT THIS BILL - APPROVE ADMINISTRATOR ATTENDING
HEARING IDAHO DECEMBER 14-15, 1973

V. NEW BUSINESS

A. 1 - Costs Involved in Compliance with Environmental Act
Discussed by Bert Cole and Committee.
MOTION ASKING STAFF TO LOOK INTO COSTS INVOLVED AND PREPARE REPORT FOR APRIL
1974 IAC MEETING

A. Local Agency Project Considerations
Tables I, II, III, and IIA reviewed
Projects commented on:
Mason County - Mason Lake Development
Snohomish - Pilchuck Rec. Center
City of Olympia - Woodruff Park

MOTION APPROVING PROJECTS

B. State Agency Project Presentation

2. Parks and Recreation Commission
 - a. Pacific Beach State Park \$ 60,000 28 \$50,000 BOR APPROVED BY COMM.
 - b. So. Beach-Midway Phase II 106,260 28 106,260 BOR APPROVED BY COMM.
 - c. McMicken Island 124,000 Init. 215 APPROVED BY COMM.WITH PROVISIO - STAFF TO LOOK INTO LEASEHOLD ARRANGEMENT:
SATISFY ITSELF AS TO LEGALITY AND INTENT.

- i. Department of Game
 - a. Twin Lakes Deleted from agenda
 - b. Tennant Lake \$ 86,150 Ref. 18)
 - c. Two Rivers WRA (355,000 total) 303,350 18 \$51,650 BOR)
 - d. Stillwater WRA - Fulford 30,000 Ref. 18)
 - e. Mineral Lake 5,500 Ref. 18) COMMITTEE
 - f. Washougal River - Bean 3,400 Ref. 18) APPROVED
 - g. Colockum WRA 150,000 Ref. 18)

City of Poulsbo, Liberty Bay Park and Marina MOTION TO APPROVE AND ADD TO LISTED
PROJECTS - MRS. LEMERE PROXY VOTE AFFIRMATIVE

VI. Administrator's Report

- 1. Trails Symposium Marhc 1974
- 2. Grant-in-aid Workshops
- 3. State Agency and Local Agency project - catch-up work
- 4. Implications of NEPA and SEPA
- 5. State Agency Capital Budgets
- 6. State of Oregon - similar outdoor recreation bond campaign
- 7. Interns - Kern, Root, Parks, Steiman - interning for our agency

VII. Committee Directors' Reports

Odegaard - Green River Gorge ~~\$210,000~~ Federal support

ADJOURNED 3:12 p.m.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE
INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

9:00 a.m. December 10-11, 1973
Monday-Tuesday

Highways Administration Bldg.
Board Room - Olympia, Washington

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Lewis A. Bell; Warren A. Bishop; John Biggs, Director, Dept. of Ecology; Bert Cole, Commissioner of Public Lands, Dept. Natural Resources; Madeline Lemere; Omar Lofgren, Chairman, IAC; Charles Odegaard, Director, State Parks and Recreation Commission; Jack Rottler; Thor Tollefson, Director, Department of Fisheries.

IAC MEMBERS ABSENT:

Robert Anderson, Director, Commerce and Economic Development; George Andrews, Director, Department of Highways; Carl Crouse, Director, Department of Game.

STAFF OF TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE & MEMBER AGENCIES PRESENT:

Assistant Attorney General
Charles Murphy

Commerce and Economic Development
None

Ecology, Department of
Beecher Snipes, Superv., Plan and Develop.

Fisheries, Department of
Don Erickson

Game, Department of
James Brigham Dan Barnett

Highways, Department of
Willia Mylroie, Research and Spec. Assignments Engr.

Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation
Kenn Cole, Fiscal Accounts Officer
Richard Costello, Rec. Res. Specialist
Stanley E. Francis, Administrator
Robert S. Lemcke, Coordinator
Glenn Moore, Rec. Res. Specialist
Milt H. Martin, Asst. Administrator
Marjorie M. Frazier, Admin. Secretary
Gerald Pelton, Chief, Plan. and Coord.
Roger Syverson, Rec. Res. Specialist
Katherine Scott, Rec. Res. Specialist
Fred Wagner, Rec. Res. Specialist
Jeff Parks, Intern

Natural Resources, Department of
Al O'Donnell
Lloyd Bell

DECEMBER 10, 1973 Pgs. 1-16
Monday

DECEMBER 11, 1973 Pgs. 16-30
Tuesday

Parks and Recreation Commission
Paul Bourgault Jan Tveten
Lynn Martin

Program Planning and Fiscal Management
Michael Stewart

LOCAL AGENCY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

William Fearn, Director, Parks and Recreation, City of Spokane
Art McCartan, Whitman County, Pullman, Washington
Richard Mullins, Director, Park and Recreation, City of Port Angeles
Richard Richmire, Alternate for David Towne, Park and Rec. Dept., City of Seattle
James Webster, King County Dept. of Parks, Seattle, Washington

OTHER AGENCIES - TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

E. E. Allen, Asst. Regional Director, alternate for Maurice Lundy, BOR, Seattle

I. Opening of the Meeting, Determination of a Quorum, Introductions, Additions and Approval of Minutes of October 29-30, 1973, Additions to the Agenda: The meeting was called to order by Chairman Lofgren at 9:00 a.m., who stated those agenda items considered as reports would be reviewed first pending a quorum. Mr. Francis made the following announcements:

- (1) Appointment of Roger Syverson as Chief, Project Officer (Recreation Resource Specialist IV) effective November 1, 1973.
- (2) Jeff Parks, photographer, Evergreen State College student intern, Olympia, assisting IAC staff with photo lab, public relations slide program, etc., for approximately three months.
- (3) Resignation of Keith Artz, Rec. Resource Specialist -- accepted position as Regional Director of Trust for Public Lands, Seattle, an independent, private organization involved in conservation of public lands for outdoor recreation and other purposes.

The Chairman on behalf of the Committee congratulated Mr. Syverson and asked that Mr. Francis compliment Mr. Artz on his new position with the Trust for Public Lands agency.

III STATUS REPORTS

A. Fiscal Status Reports: Mr. Kenn Cole, Agency Accounts Officer, reviewed the following fiscal status reports:

1. Disbursement Record - Local Agency Projects: \$1,222,323.03 had been expended from October 1, 1973 thru November 30, 1973 from the IAC funding sources (LWCF, Ref. 11, Ref. 28 and Init. 215). Three hundred thirty six (336) Local agency projects had been approved since 1965 with 191 closed and 145 still on current records. Comparison report for period a year ago indicated IAC staff is making progress in closing out projects through final billings.

2. Fund Summary: Recap of the Fund Summary report indicated balance of BOR funds of \$740,204.13 includes Fiscal Year 1974 apportionment. Also, the Department of General Administration funding under Ref. 28 of \$100,000.00 was indicated on the report.

3. Interfund Loan: Mr. Francis referred to memorandum of staff dated December 6, 1973, which outlined the following information:

- a. Interfund Loan as of November 30, 1973 amounted to \$2,647,696.64
- b. Interest has not yet been calculated but would run approximately 7.38% October; 7.196% November and 7.3 or 7.5% for December.
- c. \$20 million of Referendum 18 bonds were sold November 27, 1973 at approximately 4.90%. Funds to be available on or about December 19, 1973.
- d. Estimated \$500,000-\$700,000 in local agency billings is expected to be sent through the State Treasurer's Office prior to December 19, 1973. Therefore, best estimate at this time (including state agency payments) will indicate Interfund Loan total amount at approximately \$3.5 to \$4 million -- or approximately 50% less than anticipated \$8 million requested.

Mr. Francis complimented both state and local agencies on their cooperation in holding payments to a minimum for the lowest possible level of expenditure. The Chairman on behalf of the Committee thanked those agencies who had responded to request of the agency for delayed billings in order to assist in keeping the Interfund Loan to a minimum. He asked Mr. Kenn Cole whether it would be necessary to sell Referendum 28 bonds. Mr. Cole replied the schedule indicates it would not be necessary to sell these bonds for at least a year, or perhaps two years. \$20 million will be "cash-in-hand" within two weeks which should last through the current biennium.

Mr. Lofgren pointed out any interest accrued as a result of Referendum 18 bonds reverts to the General Fund, whereas Referendum 28 interest will be placed directly in the Outdoor Recreation Account when the bonds are sold. Mr. Francis stated it was the intent of IAC once the interest is known to assess those departments that have used the money with the applicable interest. The interest to the local agencies would be charged off to the total aspect of that program. Mrs. Lemere questioned this statement and Mr. Bert Cole stated it would be unfair to charge the interest against different departments or different groups using the funds since the fault did not lie with those departments for the need for an Interfund Loan in the first place. He felt the entire program should absorb the interest. Departments could absorb it on a pro-rata basis -- across-the-board -- without regard to how much each department had "borrowed".

Mr. Rottler and Mr. Tollefson arrived at 9:30 a.m. The Chairman declared a quorum for conducting of official business.

The Chairman announced the retirement in January 1974 of Mr. Don Erickson, Technical Advisory Committee member, Department of Fisheries, and thanked him for his past services to the Committee. Mr. Erickson thanked the Committee members and the Administrator of the IAC and stated it had been a pleasure to join with those persons involved in the acquisition and development of outdoor recreation lands for the people of the state.

Approval of the Minutes, October 29-30, 1973: In the absence of Mr. Crouse, Mrs. Lemere requested the following changes to the minutes of October 29-30, 1973:

- (1) Page 31 - City of Longview Gearhart Gardens: Mr. Bert Cole had asked the question concerning the Longview project rather than Mr. Crouse. Mr. Crouse was not at the Tuesday, October 30 meeting.
- (2) Page 36 IV C. 1. Dept. of Game, Oak Creek and Desert WRA: Regarding discussion of the buildings in response to Mr. Odegaard's question, the minutes should state:

"Certain buildings will be retained for adequate administration of this project and the two WRA's (Oak Creek and L. T. Murray). Buildings surplus to these needs will be removed."

DELETED would be the following sentence: "There was discussion also of housing for employees in the area and Mr. Jim Brigham stated there would be use of the one house by the share-cropper, and other buildings would be removed from the area."

Mr. Rottler noted he was not present at the meeting in Spokane and had been inadvertently shown as "present".

IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, SECONDED BY MR. TOLLEFSON, THAT THE MINUTES OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE MEETING OF OCTOBER 29-30, 1973, SPOKANE, WASHINGTON, BE APPROVED AS CORRECTED. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Additions or deletions to the agenda: Mr. Bert Cole asked that agenda item V A-1 NEW BUSINESS be added -- "Costs Involved in Compliance with Environmental Policy Act". Mr. Francis suggested the following addition:

IV OLD BUSINESS F 3. Other Legislation (HB 582, HB 87)

Jim Brigham, Department of Game, requested deletion of:

V NEW BUSINESS B. State Agency Project Presentations

1. Department of Game

a. Twin Lakes Acquisition

b. Tennant Lake WRA Acquisition (Later this was RE-ADDED to the agenda)

The Committee concurred in the additions and deletions to the agenda. *(See page 27)*

PUBLIC HEARING - PUBLIC RECORDS SYSTEM, IAC - TO CONFORM WITH INITIATIVE 276 - RCW 42.17:

Chairman Lofgren opened the Public Hearing on Initiative 276 (RCW 42.17), Public Records System for the IAC, at 9:45 p.m., and called upon Mr. Milton Martin, Assistant Administrator, IAC and Mr. Charles Murphy, Assistant Attorney General, for the presentation.

Mr. Martin referred to the Notice of Intention to Adopt, Amend or Repeal Rules and the attached Rules and Regulations of the IAC relating to compliance by the IAC with the provisions of Initiative 276 (RCW 42.17). He briefly outlined the various WAC sections; questions were asked by the Committee members; explanations given. The Committee stressed the need to set up cost charges for processing of any material which might be requested by the public or those interested in information within IAC files. Mr. Martin assured them this would be done and that Mr. Kenn Cole would be

prepared to cover this service within the appropriate budget category.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ODEGAARD, SECONDED BY MR. COLE, THAT

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION IS A STATE AGENCY ADMINISTERING (1) A GRANT-IN-AID PROGRAM FOR THE ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT OF OUTDOOR RECREATION LAND; (2) AN ALL-TERRAIN VEHICLE PROGRAM OF FUNDING FOR PLANNING, ACQUISITION, DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF ATV RECREATIONAL AREAS AND TRAILS, AND (3) A PLANNING AND COORDINATION PROGRAM FOR STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES IN REGARD TO ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT OF RECREATIONAL LAND AND COMPREHENSIVE PLANS AS WELL AS RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE WASHINGTON STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN,

AND WHEREAS, IT IS NECESSARY FOR THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE TO ADOPT AND AMEND REQUIRED RULES TO CARRY OUT THE ABOVE PROGRAMS UNDER THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT, RCW 34.04,

AND WHEREAS, IT IS ALSO NECESSARY FOR THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE TO ADOPT RULES AND REGULATIONS APPLYING TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A PUBLIC RECORDS SYSTEM TO CONFORM TO INITIATIVE 276 (RCW 42.17), AND

WHEREAS, A PUBLIC MEETING HAS BEEN HELD ON DECEMBER 10, 1973, AT OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, IN CONFORMANCE WITH WASHINGTON STATE LAW TO REVIEW AND ADOPT SAID RULES SETTING OUT THE METHODS, OPERATIONS AND PROCEDURES CONCERNING THE PUBLIC RECORDS SYSTEM OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE TO CONFORM TO THE PUBLIC RECORDS SECTION OF INITIATIVE 276 (RCW 42.17),

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE DOES HEREBY ADOPT THE RULES DESIGNATED IN WAC 286-06-010 THROUGH 286-06-150 (A COPY OF WHICH HAS BEEN ATTACHED AS APPENDIX "A" TO THESE MINUTES) AS ITS OFFICIAL RULES UNDER THE PUBLIC RECORDS SECTION OF INITIATIVE 276 (RCW 42.17) IMPLEMENTING A PUBLIC RECORDS PROCEDURE FOR THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE, IT BEING UNDERSTOOD THAT AMENDMENTS MAY BE MADE TO SAID RULES FROM TIME TO TIME UPON FILING OF NOTICE OF INTENTION TO ADOPT, AMEND OR REPEAL SAID RULES AND UPON CONVENING OF AN OFFICIAL PUBLIC MEETING FOR REVIEW AND PUBLIC COMMENTS PRIOR TO ADOPTION.

Discussion followed. Mr. Biggs was assured by Mr. Murphy that the adoption of these rules were consistent with the state law involved and with similar rules and regulations being adopted by other state agencies to conform with RCW 42.17. Mr. Lofgren asked for comments from the public. There being no response, QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION AND IT WAS UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED.

The Chairman then read a letter to the Committee appointing Mr. Milton H. Martin as the Public Records Officer for the Interagency Committee, and stated the rules adopted would be effective thirty days from the date of filing with the Code Reviser. (Note: Code Reviser filed 73-4, IAC rules, December 19, 1973.) The Administrator was instructed to provide the required form for the public and to initiate all procedures required under the adopted rules.

III A. 4. BOR-LWCF Status: Mr. Francis referred to memorandum dated November 30, 1973, "LWCF Fiscal Year 1974 Funding Available for December 1973", and stated the total apportionment for the State of Washington for Fiscal 1974 would be \$849,167.

He also commented on the \$18 million Special BOR Contingency Fund which has been divided into two parts (\$12 million - immediate use; \$6 million reserved in D.C. for future allocations.) The \$12 million has been allocated to each of the ten (10) BOR regions with the Northwest Region receiving a total of \$708,000 for the four states involved. Mr. Francis noted this money would be allocated on a project-by-project basis, to those states providing the best projects. He felt a reasonable percentage for Washington State might possibly allow \$223,728 to be used for projects. Mr. Francis then outlined the status of BOR money not now being used by the state and local agencies -- a total of \$345,401 -- which must be obligated or committed before Special Contingency funds would be made available to Washington State. Calculations for the December funding of BOR money were then given and various tables and recaps were discussed by Mr. Francis for the Committee as indicated in the memorandum of November 30, 1973:

- (a) Funding for December
- (b) Impact on remaining funding sessions for FY 73-75
- (c) Proposed funding schedule prepared.

The Proposed Funding Schedule (c) was as follows:

<u>DATE</u>	<u>OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
December 73	\$ 853,693	\$ 1,138,257

April 74-June 75		
April 74	\$ 1,150,000	\$ 1,533,333
August 74	1,150,000	1,533,333
December 74	1,150,000	1,533,333
April 75 (Plus 75-77 funds)	<u>504,495</u> \$ 3,954,495	<u>672,661</u> \$ 5,272,660

In response to questions from the Committee, Mr. Francis stated the IAC would have to insure that projects coming in at the current meeting would qualify for LWCF in order to obligate all LWCF funds and thus enable the State of Washington to apply for some of the Special Contingency funds. Bert Cole inquired whether the states had made an effort to have the entire LWCF regular appropriation approved by Congress for allocating. Mr. Francis mentioned the Pacific Northwest State Liaison Officers meeting held November 27 and 28, 1973 in Boise, Idaho, and the resolution drafted by that Body to Congress urging that the OMB authorize full funding of BOR funds for FY 1975. Also the National Association of State Liaison Officers hopes to have an additional \$125 million authorized for the states over the next two fiscal years. The appropriation for the states by Congress was only \$66 million as compared to \$180 million in FY 73.

III A. 5. Dept. of Motor Vehicles - Marine Gas Tax Study presentation: Mr. Francis introduced Doctor Carl Klingberg, Supervisor, Division of Research, Department of

Motor Vehicles. Doctor Klingberg outlined the background on the Initiative 215 Marine Land Act and its relationship to the Marine Gas Tax Study made by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The current study has been completed and a final report will be ready for distribution by December 15, 1973. A public hearing will be announced in January for open discussion of the study,

Doctor Klingberg introduced Mrs. Peggy O'Neill, Research Analyst, the project director of the Marine Gas Tax Study. Mrs. O'Neill reported on the method used to collect data for the study, a sampling of 1,294 gasoline stations had been made and observed for one full day during 1972. Results of the sampling were expanded to 4,110 service stations in the state for an estimate of the full year 1972 gasoline sales and indicated 3.5 million gallons of marine fuel had been sold by service stations in 1972. An additional 13.4 million gallons were sold through marinas. A total of 16.9 million gallons of marine fuel was sold during 1972 from all retail gasoline sales outlets. The total taxable fuel sold in the state was 1.6+ billion gallons. Marine use was determined to be 1.03% of all the fuel sold in the state during 1972.

In terms of dollars, Mrs. O'Neill noted for the current fiscal year 73-74 June, an additional \$265,000 would be placed in the Marine Fuel Tax Fund, from which refunds were made. For the next fiscal year of the biennium, an additional \$275,000 should result, assuming that the official figures in the budget of gas tax collected by the state remains at the level projected. Mrs. O'Neill pointed out that the current fuel crisis will require corrected estimates, and what they will be is not known. The recommendation of the Research Staff of the Department of Motor Vehicles is that the study findings of 1.03% be accepted by the Director and made retroactive to July 1, 1973.

Also, the Research Section recommends that periodic studies be conducted on data available in the Department of Motor Vehicle Department and through use of Department of Revenue data to keep pace with the changes in the use of marine fuel throughout the state. Mrs. O'Neill stated in using the data of the two departments, it would be possible to come up with approximately 75-85% of gasoline usage throughout the State of Washington. This type of survey would be more useful for updating than canvassing of gasoline stations.

In response to a question by Mr. Rottler, Mrs. O'Neill stated the current survey had cost \$56,175. Over and above that actual cost were administrative costs for the administrative staff. Mr. Tollefson asked the difference in the spread of figures from 71-72 and 1973. Kenn Cole stated between 1969-71 and 1971-73, the IAC had received \$101,000 less than the preceding biennium. Mr. Rottler asked why this had been the case and felt the Department of Motor Vehicles should be able to answer this question in its overall survey. No explanation was given.

Chairman Lofgren thanked Mr. Klingberg and Mrs. O'Neill for their presentation.

LWCF Funding: At this point, Mr. Odegaard referred back to the LWCF Status Report given by Mr. Francis and asked whether the funding noted in the memorandum under Item E (Impact of December Local Project Funding on Remainder of 1973-75 Funding Capability) (1) Land and Water Special Contingency Funds - \$223,728 - would be allocated totally to local agency projects or would the state agencies be involved? Mr. Francis stated it would be fully allocated to the local agencies. Mr. Odegaard then stated the funding should be 50% local and 50% state in keeping with the Committee's decision at the October 1973 meeting. The reason for 100% local funding was then explained by Mr. Francis -- state agencies were not able

to maximize their LWCF monies and it was felt that the Special Contingency Funds could best be maximized on local projects rather than splitting 50/50 state and local.

Mr. Bert Cole stated when DNR had discussed the funds, the feeling was that the local agencies would be in a better position and have a better opportunity to respond as far as projects were concerned because state agencies were hampered by the length of time to obtain environmental statements, go through condemnation proceedings, etc. However, when DNR was in a position to use these funds, it would request they be allocated to them retroactively. Mr. Odegaard corrected Mr. Cole's impression concerning which funds were being discussed. The \$223,728 was a Special Contingency Fund and would be lost to state agencies entirely, whereas the regular BOR funds being allocated today to local agencies (that portion ordinarily allocated to state agencies) would be considered as a "loan" from state agencies and would be "paid back" at a later time.

Following considerably more discussion, Mr. Lofgren read the motion in the minutes of October 1973 which stated that any BOR Special Contingency Funds would be allocated 50/50 state and local. Mr. Francis acknowledged his misinterpretation of the Committee's intentions.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BIGGS, SECONDED BY MR. BELL, THAT ANY SPECIAL CONTINGENCY BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION MONIES BE CONSIDERED TO BE AVAILABLE ON THE BASIS OF FIFTY PERCENT TO THE LOCAL AGENCIES AND FIFTY PERCENT TO THE STATE AGENCIES AND THAT THE ACTUAL ALLOCATION BE BASED UPON A SHOWING AS TO WHICH WOULD BE THE BEST AND MOST WORTHWHILE PROJECTS *(see page 16 - motion withdrawn)*

Discussion followed. Mr. E. E. Allen, Assistant Director, BOR, was asked what guidelines would pertain to the projects to be funded from the Special Contingency Fund. Mr. Allen stated the guideline at present would be only determination by the BOR of the qualities of the projects, the need for same, and BOR will allocate monies on a project-by-project basis. Written guidelines have not yet been received by the BOR Regional Office, but it is expected that the projects will need to be oriented toward the urban people and in urban areas serviced by public transit, rather than projects which would require considerable traveling distance.

At this point Mr. Odegaard suggested tabling the motion to enable the three state agencies and IAC staff personnel to review state projects which might qualify for 50% of the Special Contingency Funds. Mr. Francis agreed this should be done and a meeting was arranged for 1:00 p.m. (December 10, 1973) with Mr. O'Donnell and Mr. Lloyd Bell of DNR; Mr. Dan Barnett and Mr. Brigham of the Department of Game; Mr. Jan Tveten of the Parks and Recreation Commission, and Glenn Moore, Roger Syverson and Stan Francis of the I.A.C.

MR. BIGGS REQUESTED THAT HIS MOTION BE TABLED AND CONSIDERED FOLLOWING THE STATE AGENCIES/IAC MEETING. THE CHAIRMAN SO RULED.

In response to a question of Mrs. Lemere, Mr. Francis stated the Special Contingency Fund was not a part of the regular BOR allocation but a separate and distinct fund; that projects would be handled on a project-by-project basis - first come-first served; and that the competition would be on the merit of the projects. Mr. Allen noted there were three funds within the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation: (1) Regular Land and Water Conservation Fund; (2) Special Contingency Fund of \$18 million with

\$12 million now apportioned to the BOR regions; and (3) the BOR Secretary of Interior's Contingency Fund for outstanding projects. He was not able to give a definite figure of the amount of money which would be given to the State of Washington since the Special Contingency Fund monies would not be allocated on a pro-rata basis. Mr. Odegaard then stated the IAC should attempt to obtain the entire \$708,000 for the State of Washington by locating qualified projects, both state and local, and presenting them for funding under the Special Contingency Fund.

III B. State and Local Project Status Report: Mr. Roger Syverson, Project Division Chief, referred to memorandum of staff dated December 10, 1973, stating the regular status reports were not included at the December meeting because of the short period of time which had elapsed since the October meeting. Listing of closed out projects during the past two months was briefly reviewed. Mr. Syverson stated 191 local agency projects had been completed to date with 145 on the current active listing. In addition, 58 state agency projects have been completed with 154 remaining open and current. (45 DNR; 63 Dept. of Game; 44 State Parks and Recreation Commission.) There were no administrative cost increases granted during the past two months.

Environmental impact statements and various permits were discussed. Mrs. Lemere, Mr. Odegaard and Mr. Biggs commented on the need for consideration of the environmental impact on projects, and noted that some projects are held up pending these matters.

III C. Planning Status: Jerry Pelton, Chief, Planning and Coordination Division, called attention to the planning chart of December 10, 1973 outlining status of the State Trails Program and the Skagit River Study.

(2) BOR Planning Grant: Mr. Pelton reported on the BOR Planning Grant, referring to memorandum of staff dated December 10, 1973. The grant approved in December 1971 expires on December 31, 1973, and contains a balance of \$17,657.27. The balance will be released from the planning grant commitment thereby making those funds available for use in the grant-in-aid program of the agency. No requests for LWCF monies for planning purposes will be required prior to 1975, due to the following:

- (a) 1974 LWCF allocation to the states has been reduced by about 75%.
- (b) No increased funding allotment is made to a state when a planning grant is approved.
- (c) 1973-75 IAC Operating Budget has been approved by the State Legislature for funding from Init. 215.
- (d) State has been granted continuing eligibility for participation in the LWCF program and therefore will not require an updated SCORP within this biennium.

(3) Local Action Conformance Report: Mr. Pelton referred to an additional item under the Planning and Coordination Section which has previously been included in the Local Project Section of the meeting kit: "1973-75 Local Action Program Conformance Report (Based on Approved Funding at October 1973 Meeting)." In the future this report will be contained in the Planning and Coordination Section. Mr. Pelton stated at present the report indicates the thirteen districts of the state, the seven priority allocations, and dollar figures meeting the needs within those priorities and districts. He mentioned the need to revise the report to

present more meaningful information to the Committee and to more accurately reflect compliance with the SCORP Action Program. The Committee questioned Mr. Pelton concerning the report in its present format and it was the consensus of the Committee that the Planning and Coordination Section consider revamping the Action Conformance Report to some form of graphic presentation possibly using a percentage basis rather than dollar-figures. The Chairman directed staff to prepare for the April IAC meeting a revised Local Action Program Conformance Report based on the Committee directions.

IV F 1. Legislation:

(1) HB 791, Land Use Act: Mr. Francis referred to memorandum of staff dated December 10, 1973, "HB 791 - Land Use Act", and reported on the current status of the bill. Comments from the IAC were sent to the Land Planning Project Committee in regard to four areas of concern:

- (1) Relationship of the Land Use Plan to existing statewide plans (SCORP, State Water Plan, etc.) and the need for further clarification;
- (2) Recreation as a separate element of land use should be more thoroughly recognized;
- (3) Communities of less than 10,000 should also be considered in the planning as well as those over 10,000. Also, IAC questioned how the Act would affect planning requirements in relation to project eligibility;
- (4) Further definition is required as to what constitutes an emergency "area of statewide significance."

The IAC reiterated its contention that the Land Use Act was needed and in general would assist in the work of the agency (especially in those areas related to conservation of outdoor recreation resources, retention of open space, acquisition of critical resources and outstanding natural areas, and retention of river-oriented areas for recreational uses.)

Mr. Francis introduced Mr. Ron McConnell, Director, Land Planning Project, who gave the historical background on the proposed act and its current status. Articles I, II, III and IV were stressed and discussed by the Committee. The intent of the bill is to create a land use planning body to deal with land resource problems and set up a system of land use planning.

The need for permits from several agencies was then discussed. Mr. Odegaard noted there are now regulations for SEPA (environmental), shoreline management, ecology, and land use. He was concerned with the duplication of control concerning permits and rules and regulations emanating from the various agencies involved in preservation of land and land use. Mr. McConnell stated there would be input from all agencies on land use planning -- state as well as local. From planning with local and state agencies, a statewide land use plan would evolve.

Mr. Sam Angove, Director, Spokane County Parks and Recreation Department, urged that requirements for permits from local agencies be coordinated in the future so that the demand for several permits will not create a hardship on local agencies in applying for grant-in-aid funds from IAC. Sheryl Sylvester, Assistant Attorney General, Land Use Planning Project, reported that the Committee is studying this matter as it relates to IAC and other agencies, and will attempt to have this type

of coordination in the proposed law. Mr. Biggs commented that the Land Use Planning Act and the Shoreline Management Act should at some future time be joined; however the basic problem is that the Shorelines Act is presently in process of implementation and the Land Use Planning Act will have to go through the legislative process, and if passed, implementation of it will need to be coordinated.

Mr. Lofgren thanked Mr. McConnell for his presentation, and called upon Mr. Francis for a report on the Mercer Slough Project.

IV E. (2) Mercer Slough-City of Bellevue/State Parks, IAC #71-022A: Mr. Francis referred to memorandum of staff dated December 10, 1973, "Mercer Slough - Status Report". Recent communiques from BOR raised serious questions regarding the adequacy of the deed to the donation involved in the project, doubt as to clear title, and the validity of the appraisal for the major portion of the donation. A meeting was held with the City of Bellevue, State Parks, IAC and BOR representatives on November 28, 1973. Alternatives were discussed as to how to proceed in regard to expediting this project. It was agreed that Bellevue would (1) resolve all questions regarding the deed by January 1, 1974, and (2) all questions regarding the appraisal by January 15, 1974. Mr. Francis read the proposed staff motion to resolve the Mercer Slough funding.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BELL, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE THAT,

WHEREAS, THE MERCER SLOUGH ECOLOGICAL PRESERVE - PROJECT I APPLICATION HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO THE BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION FOR CONSIDERATION AS A SECRETARY OF INTERIOR CONTINGENCY RESERVE PROJECT, AND

WHEREAS, SUFFICIENT QUESTIONS HAVE BEEN RAISED BY THE BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION TO CAUSE THE CONSIDERATION OF POSSIBLE ALTERNATE APPROACHES TO BOTH SCOPE AND FUNDING FORMULAE, AND

WHEREAS, THE CITY OF BELLEVUE HAS AGREED TO SATISFACTORILY RESOLVE THE QUESTIONS REGARDING AN ACCEPTABLE DEED TO THE DONATED LAND BY JANUARY 1, 1974, AND THE QUESTIONS REGARDING AN ACCEPTABLE APPRAISED VALUE FOR THE DONATED LANDS BY JANUARY 14, 1974, AND

WHEREAS, THE CITY OF BELLEVUE HAS AGREED TO WITHDRAW THE DONATION FROM THE PROJECT SHOULD THE ABOVE CONDITIONS NOT BE MET, AND

WHEREAS, THE IAC DOES NOT MEET AGAIN IN REGULAR SESSION UNTIL APRIL 1974 AND DESIRES TO EXPEDITE THE PROGRESS OF THIS PROJECT,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE ADMINISTRATOR, AFTER CONSIDERING ALL ALTERNATES, IS HEREBY GRANTED THE AUTHORITY TO EXERCISE HIS BEST JUDGMENT IN ARRIVING AT THE BEST POSSIBLE FORM AND METHOD TO EXPEDITE THE MERCER SLOUGH PROJECT I, KEEPING IN MIND CONDITIONS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY THIS COMMITTEE.

Mr. Francis stated that the scope of the project basically remained the same if the donation is not included in the project application to the BOR. A reduction in the application itself is being proposed by staff. In response to questions of Mr. Rottler, Mrs. Joan Blaisdell, City of Bellevue, pointed out the areas in private ownership and those involving public use. Options are in on six of the fourteen properties involved which when acquired will make up the major portion of

the land for public use. There will be condemnation actions entered into for certain areas. Mrs. Loretta Slater, Chairman of the State Trails Committee, asked that the City of Bellevue check the circulation of the water in the slough -- those portions being used by canoes. She stated because of dredging certain areas intended for canoe use now contained stagnant water and were unusable for this purpose. Mrs. Blaisdell stated continued dredging would take care of the standing water situation and solve this problem.

QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION AND IT WAS CARRIED.

IV A. (1) and (2) Procedural Guidelines: Mr. Martin referred to memorandum of staff dated December 11, 1973, and explained that guidelines concerning (1) interpretive centers and (2) redevelopment would be studied and reviewed with the Technical Advisory Committee and recommendations made concerning them to the Committee at the April 1974 IAC meeting.

IV B. DNR - Lease Program: Mr. Francis referred to memorandum of staff dated December 10, 1973, stating it was necessary that the IAC staff and combined DNR staffs (Recreation, Lands, and Timber Divisions) continue their review of the DNR Lease Program. Finalization of the proposals will be brought to the Committee's attention at the April 1974 IAC meeting.

IV C. Trails Program: Mr. Pelton and Mr. Rich Costello, Planning and Coordination Section, reported on memorandum of staff dated December 10, 1973, "State Trails Program". Mr. Pelton briefly reviewed the past history of the program and the motion made May 29, 1973 by the Committee adopting the Washington State Trails Program as part of SCORP. Mr. Costello distributed a listing of the Trail Corridors being proposed by the State Trails Committee for adoption by the IAC and a listing of the persons serving on the State Trails Committee. A slide program denoting the specific trail corridors was then presented by Mr. Costello. Explanation was given of each trail corridor in response to questions of the Committee. Those trail corridors shown were: Bicycle, Foot/Horse, and Water.

Mrs. Loretta Slater was called upon for comments. She thanked the staff of the IAC and those involved in the program for their review and study of the trail corridor situation. She stated there would be an increasing need for non-motorized trails and this need should be given consideration in the IAC Grant-in-Aid program. She spoke of the National Trail Council and its desire to have a State Trail Council formed in each state so that eventually state trails would join other state trails and form a national trail system. Interest in the Hell's Canyon Recreational Area and the possibility for hiking trails and other types of trails in that project was also mentioned by Mrs. Slater.

The Chairman thanked Mrs. Slater for her comments and assured her of the IAC's interest in promoting trail corridors throughout the state.

IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, SECONDED BY MR. TOLLEFSON, THAT

WHEREAS, A STATE TRAILS PROGRAM HAS BEEN ADOPTED BY THIS COMMITTEE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS AUTHORITY UNDER RCW 67.32 AND

WHEREAS, THE STATE TRAILS COMMITTEE HAS FULLY REVIEWED THE "INTERIM" CORRIDORS AS ADOPTED ON MAY 29, 1973 AND RECOMMENDS CERTAIN CHANGES AND ADDITIONS TO THOSE

CORRIDORS, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE INITIAL STATE TRAIL CORRIDORS AS RECOMMENDED BY THE STATE TRAILS COMMITTEE BE ADOPTED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE AS THE "INITIAL" CORRIDORS WITHIN WHICH A SYSTEM OF STATE RECREATION TRAILS WILL BE PROMOTED, COORDINATED, AND DESIGNATED IN THE MANNER PRESCRIBED WITHIN THE STATE TRAILS PROGRAM.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Mrs. Lemere inquired how the trails program would fit in with the state land use program as outlined by Mr. McConnell. Mr. Pelton stated this was not as yet known but all land use programs and plans would be taken into account once the Land Use Planning Act was a reality.

IV D. Skagit River Study: Mr. Robert Lemcke, Coordinator, Planning and Coordination Section, referred to staff memorandum dated December 10, 1973, "Skagit River Study", and stated alternative D (providing a recreational classification on the Skagit River from the pipeline crossing at Sedro Woolley upstream to Bacon Creek and a scenic classification on the Cascade, Sauk and Suiattle Rivers) had been recommended by the study team. He recommended IAC support this alternative.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. COLE, SECONDED BY MR. BELL THAT

WHEREAS, PUBLIC LAW 90-562, KNOWN AS THE NATIONAL WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT, DESIGNATED THE SKAGIT RIVER AND ITS TRIBUTARIES AS STUDY RIVERS FOR POSSIBLE INCLUSION IN THE NATIONAL RIVERS SYSTEM, AND

WHEREAS, THE SKAGIT SYSTEM HAS BEEN JOINTLY STUDIED BY THE UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE AND THE STATE OF WASHINGTON TO DETERMINE ITS QUALIFICATIONS FOR INCLUSION IN THE SYSTEM, AND

WHEREAS, THE STUDY DID CONCLUDE THAT ALL SEGMENTS OF THE STUDY AREA QUALIFIED FOR ONE OR MORE CLASSIFICATIONS AS DEFINED IN THE ACT, AND

WHEREAS, THE STUDY DISPLAYED SEVERAL CLASSIFICATION ALTERNATIVES, EACH WITH VARYING DEGREES OF ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND SOCIAL IMPACT, AND

WHEREAS, THE STUDY HAS TENTATIVELY RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE "D", WHEREIN THE SKAGIT RIVER FROM THE PIPELINE CROSSING AT SEDRO WOOLLEY UPSTREAM TO BACON CREEK WOULD BE CLASSIFIED "RECREATIONAL" AND THE CASCADE, SAUK, AND SUIATTLE RIVERS WOULD BE CLASSIFIED "SCENIC" FOR THEIR ENTIRE STUDY LENGTH, AS THE MOST VIABLE ALTERNATIVE, AND,

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION HAS LONG TAKEN A POSITIVE POSITION IN THE PRESERVATION AND PROTECTION OF THE OUTSTANDING RIVER RESOURCES IN THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION SUPPORTS THE ALTERNATIVE "D" CLASSIFICATION RECOMMENDATION AS THE MOST APPROPRIATE AND DESIRABLE.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

IV. E. Project Changes: (1) Department of Game, Fishing Float Development #71-606D:

Mr. Syverson reviewed memorandum of staff dated December 10, 1973, concerning a request for reduction in scope and cost increase on the Department of Game's IAC Project #71-606D, Fishing Float Development. Original cost estimates on this project were found to be inaccurate and additional elements were added to the project scope which created a cost overrun; a new total cost of \$13,725 for the construction of two fishing floats was therefore recommended by staff.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BELL, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE THAT,

WHEREAS, THE IAC ON MAY 23, 1971, APPROVED THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME'S FISHING FLOAT DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (71-606D) FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THREE FLOATS FOR A TOTAL COST OF \$10,000; AND

WHEREAS, THE ORIGINAL COST ESTIMATES WERE FOUND TO BE INACCURATE AND ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS WERE ADDED TO THE PROJECT SCOPE WHICH CREATED A COST OVERRUN; AND

WHEREAS, THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME HAS REQUESTED A COST INCREASE AND AMENDMENT TO THE PROJECT SCOPE WHICH WOULD ESTABLISH A NEW TOTAL COST OF \$13,725 FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF TWO FISHING FLOATS, LANDSCAPING AND SANITARY FACILITIES;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THE IAC HEREBY APPROVES THE REQUEST SUBMITTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME AND AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE NECESSARY DOCUMENTS TO AMEND THE PROJECT SCOPE AND PROVIDE A COST INCREASE OF \$3,726 FOR PROJECT #71-606D.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

IV E. (3) City of Seattle, Street Conversion Contingency Projects, IAC #71-001D and #71-002A: Mr. Syverson referred to memorandum of staff dated December 10, 1973, concerning the Seattle Street Conversion Contingency Projects, and explained the request for withdrawal of \$201,100 of LWCF monies which had been committed by the Secretary of Interior's Contingency Fund for these projects. The City of Seattle had notified the IAC of major problems encountered with two acquisition parcels and the seven sites being developed, due to pre-planning and preliminary work in putting the projects together. Nearly two years had elapsed since approval of the projects and no significant progress had been made, thus request for withdrawal was made.

IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, SECONDED BY MR. BELL THAT,

WHEREAS, THE CITY OF SEATTLE HAS REQUESTED THE TERMINATION OF THE STREET CONVERSION ACQUISITION PROJECT (IAC #71-002A) AND THE STREET CONVERSION DEVELOPMENT PROJECT (IAC #71-001D) AND WITHDRAWAL OF \$201,100 OF LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND MONIES COMMITTED BY THE SECRETARY OF INTERIOR'S CONTINGENCY FUND FOR SAID PROJECTS,

AND, WHEREAS, IT IS APPARENT THAT IMPLEMENTATION OF SAID PROJECTS CANNOT BE REALIZED IN A TIMELY MANNER AS REQUIRED UNDER THE CONDITIONS OF SUCH FEDERAL FUNDING,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE THAT ALL FUNDS COMMITTED TO THE ABOVE TWO PROJECTS ARE HEREBY WITHDRAWN AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT THE ADMINISTRATOR IS HEREBY AUTHORIZED TO EXECUTE SUCH DOCUMENTS AS NECESSARY TO TERMINATE THE COMMITMENTS OF THE IAC WITH BOTH THE CITY OF SEATTLE AND THE SECRETARY OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

IV E. (4) City of Longview, Longview Bicycle-Pedestrian Trail, IAC #72-014D: Mr. Syverson referred to memorandum of staff dated December 10, 1973, "Request for Reduction in Scope, Longview Bicycle-Pedestrian Trail". The City had requested a reduction from \$324,893 to \$253,025 in this project. Staff had determined such reduction did not reduce the validity or quality of the remaining trail project and recommended approval by the Committee. The reduction involved 19,200 lineal feet of trail (\$61,868), which would not change any of the proposed developments around Lake Sacajawea, the major element of the original project.

Questions were asked of staff by the Committee to determine the original project design and layout. Since there was need of a more accurate description of the areas involved in the project, MR. ODEGAARD REQUESTED ACTION ON THE PROJECT BE TABLED UNTIL DECEMBER 11, TUESDAY, TO ENABLE STAFF TO PREPARE A SLIDE PRESENTATION ON THE LONGVIEW PROJECT AND DETAIL THE TRAIL SEGMENTS BEING DELETED, INDICATING PROJECT SCOPE AS IT WOULD BE APPROVED THROUGH STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THE REDUCTION OF \$61,868. THE CHAIRMAN SO ORDERED. *(See page 16 + 17)*

IV F. Legislation: (2) S. 2233 - Hells Canyon National Recreational Area: Mr. Francis referred to memorandum of staff "S 2233 - Hells Canyon National Recreational Area", and suggested this item be held over until December 11 pending a decision from Governor Evans as to his position on the bill. He suggested Committee members read the memorandum in the interim.

III A. IV (continued discussion) - BOR/LWCF: Mr. Francis reported on the meeting with state agency representatives concerning resolution of the question regarding use of the LWCF/BOR Special Contingency fund. Three points were made:

- (1) IAC staff will recommend allocations only to the extent of the LWCF apportionment to bring it to the zero balance necessary in order to qualify Washington State to participate in the Special Contingency Fund;
- (2) IAC staff will be prepared to discuss with the Committee those projects which possibly could qualify in that Special Contingency Fund; and
- (3) State agencies are at liberty to present to the Committee whatever projects they so desire for consideration, but the IAC staff will be unable to discuss or recommend either pro or con since staff has not had a chance to review such projects. Any project so submitted by a state agency should, as a matter of budgeting and procedure, be eligible under the IAC Procedural Guidelines for such consideration, i.e., should be part of the Capital Budget program, and the state agency should be prepared to submit all the necessary documentation on same.

Mr. Odegaard stated his Department was prepared to submit projects but did not want to place staff in the position of having to detail the projects if it was determined by the Committee these would not be considered. He asked Mr. Allen for a definition of what types of projects would be favored by the BOR for the Special Contingency Fund, particularly, could it be anticipated that non-urban projects would not be considered? Mr. Allen reiterated his earlier statement that the Special Contingency Fund projects should be designed to meet day-use needs of an urban area....types that can be served by public transportation. He stated each of the states must first commit their available, regular apportioned BOR funds including the 1974 apportionment, and hopefully, within a two week period

additional guidelines would be forthcoming from BOR defining project submittal for the Special Contingency Fund monies.

Chairman Lofgren asked if the BOR money would be allocated elsewhere if the Committee did not take action until its April 1974 meeting. Mr. Allen stated he was unable to answer this question as there were too many "unknowns" at the present time. The projects would be expected to be of excellent quality and great need.

Mr. Lofgren stated the regular BOR monies could be exhausted at this meeting and the Committee would then be in a position to evaluate projects coming under the Special Contingency Fund. Mr. Biggs stated since there were as yet no ground rules or procedures concerning the Special Contingency Fund, it would be premature for the Committee to take action in recommending projects to be funded from that source. THEREFORE MR. BIGGS WITHDREW HIS MOTION MADE AT THE DECEMBER 10, 1973 MORNING'S SESSION OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE; MR. BELL WITHDREW HIS SECOND TO THE MOTION. Discussion followed.

Mr. Lofgren then stated use of the regular LWCF monies would be considered at the Tuesday, December 11, 1973 IAC meeting. Mr. Biggs reiterated his position that since there were, at this point, no ground rules for the Committee to follow, it would not be appropriate to consider projects which might come under the Special BOR Contingency funding. Mr. Bell agreed it would be necessary for staff to evaluate any of these special projects to determine their validity. He stated he had approved Mr. Biggs' motion for withdrawal but that perhaps state and local projects coming under the category for Special Contingency Funding could be considered by the Committee at a Special IAC Meeting. Mr. Francis was asked whether there could be a local project which would use the entire \$223,728 of BOR funds. He replied in the affirmative, but that in light of the fact that there were no guidelines from BOR, the project might not qualify when such guidelines were available.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BELL, SECONDED BY MR. BIGGS THAT THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE STAFF GIVE NOTICE TO ALL OF ITS CONSTITUENTS (STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES) OF THE OPPORTUNITY FOR SPECIAL CONTINGENCY FUNDS AND SECURE FROM THE BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION (FEDERAL GOVERNMENT) THE GUIDELINES FOR PROJECTS WHICH WOULD QUALIFY; THAT STAFF THEN DETERMINE STATE AND LOCAL PROJECTS WHICH WOULD MEET THOSE QUALIFICATIONS AND CALL A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE COMMITTEE PRIOR TO THE APRIL 22-23, 1974 IAC MEETING FOR CONSIDERATION OF THOSE PROJECTS.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Committee recessed at 4:05 p.m.

TUESDAY DECEMBER 11, 1973

Chairman Lofgren reconvened the meeting at 9:05 a.m., and requested Mr. Syverson to continue the discussion of December 10th concerning the Change in Scope of the Longview Bike-Pedestrian Trail Project.

IV. E. (4) City of Longview, Longview Bicycle-Pedestrian Trail, IAC #72-014D
(continued): Slides were shown of the project. Staff explained that approximately 4 and 1/2 miles of trail was being deleted; the remaining trail consisted of 2 and 1/2 miles around Lake Sacajawea. Cost figures were presented; 15% contingency

cost was noted within the project. Deletion of an asphaltic-concrete path from near Lake Sacajawea Park to Roy Morse Park was recommended by staff, with the rest of the trail being left within the project.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ODEGAARD, SECONDED BY MR. COLE THAT

WHEREAS, THE CITY OF LONGVIEW HAS REQUESTED A REDUCTION IN SCOPE OF THE LONGVIEW BICYCLE-PEDESTRIAN TRAIL FROM \$324,893 to \$263,025, AND

WHEREAS, IAC STAFF FEELS THAT THIS REDUCTION DOES NOT REDUCE THE VIABILITY OR QUALITY OF THE REMAINING TRAIL PROJECT, AND

WHEREAS, THE IAC IS DESIROUS OF COMMITTING ALL APPROPRIATED LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUNDS,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE THAT THE REDUCTION IN SCOPE BE APPROVED AND THE FUNDING LEVEL BE AS FOLLOWS FOR THE LONGVIEW BICYCLE-PEDESTRIAN TRAIL PROJECT, IAC. #72-014D:

<u>TOTAL COST</u>	<u>REF. 18</u>	<u>LWCF</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>
\$ 263,025	\$ 65,756.25	\$131,512.50	\$ 65,756.25

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

IV. F. (3) Other Legislation: Mr. Francis referred to memorandum of staff dated December 10, 1973 "State Legislation", and stated all legislation detailed in the memorandum had been discussed with the IAC Legislative Sub-committee and represented the consensus of the sub-committee and the Administrator.

(A) Engrossed House Bill 582: State Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Study -- Present bill encompasses original study concept and names six "instant rivers" for inclusion in the wild, scenic and recreational rivers' system of the State of Washington; sets forth basic criteria for classification purposes; establishes committee for consideration and establishment of management plans, guidelines and administrative policies; with Department of Natural Resources as responsible agency to carry out provisions of the Act. The Legislative Sub-committee of the IAC recommended support of this bill.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BISHOP, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE, THAT

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ENDORSE AND SUPPORT AT THE 1974 SESSION OF THE WASHINGTON STATE LEGISLATURE ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL 582, STATE WILD, SCENIC AND RECREATIONAL RIVERS STUDY, WHICH DENOTES THE FOLLOWING SIX RIVERS AS "INSTANT" RIVERS UNDER THE NEW LEGISLATIVE DESIGNATIONS:

GRANDE RONDE; PORTIONS OF YAKIMA; PORTIONS OF WENATCHEE; METHOW; KALAMA; AND KLICKITAT RIVERS

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

(B) House Bill 87 - Watercraft, Fuel Tax Refunds eliminated: This bill eliminates refund on marine fuel (gasoline) for pleasure watercraft, with proceeds to go to the Outdoor Recreation Account; retains refund for commercial craft;

streamlines marine fuel tax record-keeping; and charges cost of future studies and surveys to determine appropriate amount of Motor Vehicle Fuel Tax as Marine Fuel Tax against such funds as may be appropriate by the Washington State Legislature. The IAC staff strongly endorsed the bill and recommended support of the Interagency Committee members during the legislative session. The IAC Legislative Sub-committee also recommended support.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. COLE, SECONDED BY MR. BELL, THAT

THE IAC CONTINUE ITS SUPPORT OF HOUSE BILL 87, WATERCRAFT, FUEL TAX REFUNDS ELIMINATION, AT THE 1974 SESSION OF THE WASHINGTON STATE LEGISLATURE.

Discussion followed. Mr. Rottler expressed his strong opposition to support of this bill, stating boating groups, associations and interests would not approve of the action. He mentioned the fact that the statistics on fuel used by boaters is not accurate and has not been so for some time; direct benefit of the monies should actually go to the user group - the boaters; all boaters share already in taxation and some pay for personal property tax on their recreation equipment which tax is not paid by other recreation people. Also, he pointed out that the IAC has been funded through Initiative 215, and thus boaters have contributed their share and beyond. He asked that the Committee consider withdrawing its support of House Bill 87.

In response to Mrs. Mylroie's question, Mr. Francis stated the Marine Gas Tax Study would be charged off to whatever funds the Legislature would appropriate for it; in other words, the bill would indicate that the Legislature would be willing to charge the studies to the General Fund rather than to the Outdoor Recreation Account. The Department of Motor Vehicles (Mrs. Peggy O'Neill and Mr. Klingberg) had indicated at the December 10th IAC session the study aspect would be refined and a new process evolved would enable them to obtain the desired data in a much simpler and expeditious manner. The information would be updated on a regular basis rather than reverting to a full study as in prior years.

Mr. Rottler stated he felt that this had not as yet been justified and there was at this time no proof of this contention. Revenue figures from Marine Fuel Tax were requested by Mr. Bell. Mr. Francis stated revenue to the Outdoor Recreation Account was declining, and the reason given had been that of increased refunds to boaters. A former financial impact statement made on this particular piece of legislation indicated that it would generate about one-half million dollars more per year.

Mr. Odegaard asked if staff had consulted with the Interclub Associations as to their view in regard to the unreclaimed taxes. Mr. Francis stated no such contact had as yet been made, but that through program and public relations arrangements being handled by Mr. Martin, contact would be made with this group and others in the boating field. Mr. Francis indicated Mr. Martin had a date to meet with the Council on January 23, 1974. Mr. Odegaard suggested that the Administrator meet with representatives of Northwest Marine Industries, Inc.

QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION. MR. ROTTLER VOTED IN THE NEGATIVE AND ASKED THAT HIS VOTE BE SO RECORDED. THE MOTION PASSED BY MAJORITY VOTE.

Mr. Odegaard and Mr. Bert Cole commented on Mr. Rottler's comments and it was agreed his points were good ones. Mr. Cole stated boats using the bulk of the

gas did require costly facilities and in many cases they use private marinas. However, boaters have expanded their "population" at such a rate that they are going to need additional facilities and he urged that the Committee insure funds be used to meet the needs of the people who are paying the taxes. He felt the IAC had been negligent in the past in this respect and that staff ought to consider this in recommending Init. 215 funds for expenditure by the Committee. Mr. Rottler stated the Puget Sound Boating Access and Destination Program (\$400,000 approved by the IAC) had not moved forward very well since its approval and he asked that this be taken into consideration by the staff of IAC in reviewing proposed projects.

At this point Mr. Lofgren stated the IAC is not a sponsoring agency of specific projects, and its main function is to fund projects meeting the needs of the people of the state in acquisition and development of recreation areas. The IAC should review projects, but it should not recommend or initiate local or state projects. Agencies must come forth with their own projects attractive to the boater and the boater has a role in dealing with local agencies in terms of urging that these kinds of projects are brought before the IAC. They should therefore move in their own interest.

Secondly, Mr. Lofgren stated Initiative 215 monies have been used by the Interagency Committee for its operations, the Committee having agreed it is an easy, manageable means of funding. On several occasions the staff of the IAC have been instructed to indicate to the Committee that bond monies were being used to offset Initiative 215 monies used for IAC operations and coordination activities as prescribed by State Law. He suggested that the IAC staff keep a continuing report on Initiative 215 in terms of its status and the status of the bond monies funding 215-type projects. He urged the Administrator to keep the boating public informed of the use of Initiative 215 funds.

Bert Cole stated he had alerted his staff to communicate with boating organizations and had instructed them to meet with them on projects meeting their needs.

Mr. Rottler, Mr. Francis and Mr. Odegaard then discussed the manner in which funding from Initiative 215 was currently handled by the IAC through legislative process. Mr. Lofgren and Mr. Francis gave a history of the law which had formed the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation, its purpose and responsibilities. Mr. Rottler stated for some time he had not been able to get a sufficiently good picture of how many dollars the Committee has expended on Init. 215 projects during each biennium; how many dollars have flowed into the Marine Recreation Account; and he asked that there be an ongoing summary of this nature for review of the Committee. Mr. Francis reported there had been several previous reports made on Init. 215 expenditure and these could be updated to meet this need. Mr. Rottler then stated he did not want to be misunderstood. He felt the IAC had been carrying out its responsibilities well and had funded very good projects, but a public relations job has not been done, especially with the boating public, and he was pleased this would now be taken care of by the IAC staff.

(C) Initiative 215 - RCW 43:99: Mr. Francis explained the need to eliminate the 50/50 percentage requirement within RCW 43:99 attached to acquisition and development of marine-oriented recreation areas and facilities. He recommended RCW 93.99.090 be amended accordingly, but also provide for reporting on the expenditure of Initiative 215 funds to the Legislature from time to time.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BISHOP, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE, THAT

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE SUPPORT THE ADMINISTRATOR AND THE SUB-COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATION OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE IN THE ATTEMPT TO AMEND RCW 43.99.090 TO ELIMINATE THE ARBITRARY PERCENTAGES ATTACHED TO ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT OF MARINE-ORIENTED RECREATION AREAS AND FACILITIES BUT PROVIDE FOR REPORTING ON THE EXPENDITURE OF INITIATIVE 215 FUNDS TO THE LEGISLATURE FROM TIME TO TIME AND AUTHORIZE THE ADMINISTRATOR TO WORK WITH THE WASHINGTON STATE LEGISLATURE IN THIS REGARD.

In the ensuing discussion, Mr. Rottler agreed that the boaters would no doubt be supportive of this legislation since it could lead to boater-oriented acquisition and development projects.

QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION AND IT WAS CARRIED.

IV. F. (2) S-2233 - Hells Canyon National Recreational Area: Mr. Francis referred to staff memorandum dated December 10, 1973, "S 2233-Hells Canyon National Recreational Area." The Governor's interest and support of the bill was noted, and the Committee was informed Mr. Francis would be attending the field hearings in Lewiston, Idaho on December 14-15, 1973, to attest the Governor's position as well as that of the Interagency Committee on S-2233. Following review of the memorandum and explanation of the role of the IAC, Mr. Odegaard suggested one change in the proposed motion to delineate that the IAC was one of several agencies within state government having an interest in statewide outdoor recreation.

MR. ODEGAARD MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. TOLLEFSON THAT

WHEREAS, THE GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON HAS EXPRESSED HIS CONCERN FOR THE PRESERVATION OF HELLS CANYON AND THE MIDDLE SNAKE RIVER, AND

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION IS AN AGENCY OF WASHINGTON STATE GOVERNMENT CONCERNED WITH STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION, AND

WHEREAS, THE WASHINGTON STATEWIDE COMPREHENSIVE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN PLACES A HIGH PRIORITY ON THE PRESERVATION OF UNIQUE AND OUTSTANDING NATURAL AREAS AND ALSO SPEAKS DIRECTLY TO A TRI-STATE EFFORT BY IDAHO, OREGON AND WASHINGTON STATES TO WORK JOINTLY IN THE PRESERVATION OF HELLS CANYON AND THE MIDDLE SNAKE RIVER, AND

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION HAS A LONG-STANDING CONCERN AND COMMITMENT TO THE CONSERVATION OF THE RIVERS OF WASHINGTON, INCLUDING THE MIDDLE SNAKE AND ITS TRIBUTARY, THE GRANDE RONDE, AS AN ENDANGERED OUTDOOR RECREATION RESOURCE, AND

WHEREAS, THERE IS PENDING BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE LEGISLATURE A STATE WILD, SCENIC AND RECREATIONAL RIVERS BILL WHICH SPEAKS TO THE NEED FOR CLASSIFICATION AND DESIGNATION OF THE STATE'S RIVERS FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION, OF WHICH THE IAC IS SUPPORTIVE,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE IAC ENDORSES THE CONCEPT OF A HELLS CANYON NATIONAL RECREATION AREA AS ENGENDERED WITHIN S-2233, AND

HEREBY DECLARES IT SUPPORT OF S-2233 IN GENERAL, AND MORE SPECIFICALLY WITH THOSE PROVISIONS WHICH DESIGNATE THAT SEGMENT OF THE SNAKE RIVER WITHIN WASHINGTON FROM THE WASHINGTON-OREGON BORDER TO THE TOWN OF ASOTIN, WASHINGTON, AS A RECREATIONAL

RIVER UNDER PROVISIONS OF THE WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT (83 STAT. 906), AND WHICH DE-AUTHORIZES THE ASOTIN DAM AND PROHIBITS THE FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION FROM LICENSING ANY DAM WITHIN THE PROPOSED NATIONAL RECREATION AREA, AND

FURTHER, RECOGNIZING THE RECREATIONAL POTENTIAL OF THE GRANDE RONDE RIVER AND ITS ENDANGERMENT, PROPOSES THAT THE GRANDE RONDE RIVER BE CONSIDERED FOR RECLASSIFICATION UNDER THE WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT (PL 90-542) FROM A 5-D RIVER TO A 5-A RIVER, AND

FURTHER, DIRECTS THE ADMINISTRATOR TO PREPARE AND DELIVER TESTIMONY ENCOMPASSING THE ABOVE-STATED POSITION OF THE IAC AT THE S-2233 FIELD HEARINGS TO BE HELD IN LEWISTON, IDAHO ON DECEMBER 14-15, 1973.

Mrs. Lemere questioned the need to include the Grand Ronde River within the bill, stating it might hamper chances of the bill passing Congress to add other rivers or make changes at this time. Mr. Francis stated he had discussed this with Senator Packwood's office and it was felt addition of the Grande Ronde would not in any way endanger the bill's chances of passage. Governor Evans also approved its addition.

QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION, AND IT WAS CARRIED.

Mr. Bell thanked the members of the Committee for their favorable support of S-2233 citing his own personal interest in the preservation of the Hells Canyon-Middle Snake River Area and the long period of time which had been involved in the bill reaching its present stage of near passage.

V. NEW BUSINESS A-1: Costs Involved in Compliance with Environmental Act:

Mr. Bert Cole was asked for his presentation on this agenda item. He spoke of the additional workload and staff involved in meeting all of the demands for environmental impact statements, securing special permits, and processing these. His major concern was the additional costs necessary to carry out the new mandates of the Legislature through the Shoreline Management Act and other environmental acts recently passed by that Body. He suggested the Committee consider reimbursing some of the additional cost through the IAC Grant-in-Aid program on both state and local projects. He noted that the price of land continues going up; contracts for development increase; and when the Committee approves a project the original cost is way out of line when that project ultimately is developed and completed. It appeared to his department that costs in these areas have increased about 13 percent over a few years ago before the new requirements were placed into effect. He recommended that the Committee place on the April agenda the topic of IAC additional funding to meet these cost requirements necessary under the provisions of the Shoreline Management Act, Environmental Act, etc., and direct the staff of the IAC through the Technical Advisory Committee to consider methods of obtaining additional funds to meet these requirements.

Mr. Bishop clarified Mr. Cole's concern stating it is the normal procedure at the present time that the increased costs to meet environmental concerns are met through the regular budgets of both state and local governments. Mr. Cole's proposal would place these costs within the Grant-in-Aid proposals for projects coming to the IAC's attention for funding. There followed discussion on the proposal.

Mr. Odegaard felt it should not be up to the IAC to oversee all of these matters

once they were instigated; that the IAC is a funding agency and should not be given the added assignment of following through on permits and other regulations under the acts being discussed.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. COLE, SECONDED BY MRS. LEMERE, THAT

THE STAFF OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE THROUGH ITS TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE CONSIDER METHODS OF ASSISTING STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES WITH REIMBURSEMENT FOR COSTS INVOLVED IN MEETING VARIOUS REQUIREMENT OF STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES TO MEET PROVISIONS OF THE SHORELINE MANAGEMENT ACT, ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT, AND OTHER ACTS HAVING AN IMPACT ON GRANT-IN-AID PROPOSALS,

AND FURTHER, THAT THE STAFF PRESENT ITS REPORT ON THIS MATTER AT THE APRIL 22-23, 1974 MEETING.

QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION. MR. ODEGAARD VOTED IN THE NEGATIVE AND ASKED THAT HIS VOTE BE SO RECORDED. THE MOTION PASSED BY MAJORITY VOTE.

Mr. Odegaard then stated he had voted in the affirmative regarding House Bill 87 and the support from the Interagency Committee; however, he asked that it be recorded in the minutes that he would hope the staff of the IAC would give a low priority to House Bill 87, spending as little time on it as possible in view of the many assignments being given to the staff at this meeting.

V. A. Local Agency Project Considerations: Mr. Syverson referred to memorandum of staff dated December 10, 1973, "Local Project Considerations". He reported a total of eighteen (18) local agency applications had been held over from the October 1973 meeting for consideration if additional LWCF monies were available. Staff met with local agencies relative to LWCF eligibility, and it was determined 16 of the 18 projects were eligible for LWCF funding. In addition, two projects not presented at the October meeting due to technical problems were re-submitted by the sponsoring agencies and staff determined they were technically complete for presentation to the Committee. Thus, 18 projects were being presented for consideration with six projects recommended for approval of the Committee, committing IAC dollars in the amount of \$503,937.75.

Mr. Rottler inquired why there would be no funding of Initiative 215 for marine-oriented projects. Mr. Francis explained the December session of IAC was a Special Funding Session requested by the Committee at its October meeting for utilization of LWCF monies, considering only those projects eligible to use LWCF monies. Further, there were no marine-oriented projects within the top six being recommended.

Mr. Syverson referred to Table I-A - Local Agency Evaluations, which contained information on the Evaluation Scoring of each project. There were several questions asked of the staff in regard to this table. Mr. Pelton and Mr. Syverson explained the various categories used in evaluation of the projects. Mr. Lemcke noted that it had been necessary to condense the titles at the top of the form and therefore all of the necessary information pertaining to each of the categories was not available for an accurate summation of each specific category. Therefore, he felt the Committee or anyone reviewing the form would not be able to understand the point score without additional knowledge of the details of the Evaluation System. He then read an example of one of the questions in the Evaluation System, which gave four alternatives with a point score for each one. He stressed it was not possible to analyze each

of the categories "piecemeal". One would need to review the entire Evaluation Score Form and sheet of each local agency.

Mr. Lofgren then noted that the Committee members had been asked to attend the Evaluation Team's meeting on the local projects being considered; however, due to press of business, etc., no member had attended. He suggested the Committee refrain from further comment on Table I-A since it would not be possible to answer everyone's questions and it would involve a long discussion.

Mr. Bishop agreed and stated the staff had used the Evaluation System well and to attempt to evaluate each and every project would be extremely difficult and time-consuming. All projects had been carefully reviewed by staff, using the scoring mechanism; the Technical Advisory Committee had also reviewed them; and there had been very active participation in the Evaluation System process by both IAC and the Technical Advisory Committee.

Mr. Rottler commented on the title "Unemployment in the Area" with the score of "4" being given to each project. It was explained by staff that the unemployment in the state is about 9% statewide. It was difficult to evaluate this factor in each area; thus, each project was given the same point score. This question and any others with similar problems of point assignment will be considered for elimination in future refinements of the Evaluation System.

Mr. Syverson referred to Table II, "Local Projects to be Presented December 11, 1973" which indicated projects by Priorities I through VII. Table III noted the projects being recommended by staff: Steelhead Park, Mason Lake, Pilchuck Recreation Center, Woodruff Park, South Park and Hillaire Park. Slides were shown of the recommended projects. Specific projects having pertinent questions from the Committee members are included in the foregoing:

Mason County - Mason Lake Development: In response to questions of Mr. Bell, Mr. Syverson stated the IAC had been funding costs involved in environmental assessment but not those for environmental impact statements. Environmental assessment costs are included within a local project's planning costs.

Snohomish - Pilchuck Recreation Center: Mr. Lofgren questioned the diking along the river and its effect on the proposed park. Mr. Syverson stated the area outside of the dike does flood on a yearly basis, but the park area would not be endangered from normal flooding.

City of Olympia - Woodruff Park: The 15% Contingency Cost was questioned in this project, and Mr. Syverson stated since the project was held over from the May 1973 IAC meeting, the contingency cost had been retained. New applications do not contain a contingency cost in keeping with the new Procedural Guidelines.

Poulsbo - Liberty Bay Park and Marina: In response to Committee request, Liberty Bay Park and Marina - City of Poulsbo, was also discussed. Questions were asked concerning environmental permits, environmental impact statements, and procedures involved in meeting the Shorelines Management Act, Environmental Policy Act, and other state laws. Mr. Odegaard did not feel there should be a determination made by IAC staff on the environmental impact of a project once the permits and all other details had been taken care of by the project sponsor. He felt it was not necessary to include this item in the Evaluation Scoring System once the requirements had been met.

There were questions asked concerning projects which might not use LWCF money. The Committee was assured by Mr. Francis that if the Committee wished to go beyond the recommendations of staff for LWCF funding and use other state funding, it had the prerogative of doing so. Mr. Bell felt the Special Meeting for LWCF funding should have been more thoroughly advertised so that those sponsors having projects coming before the Committee would know the requirements. Mr. Francis assured him that the local agencies had been so notified and were aware of the special funding session for use of LWCF funds only. It was Mr. Bell's feeling that the Committee members should be able to exercise their own judgment on projects and he did not want to be bound to a system of point-scoring categorically. However, he understood staff recommendations and the process of evaluation to obtain the best and most worthwhile projects meeting the needs of the people.

Mr. Bishop then stated it was essential that the project sponsors being considered understand that the environmental impact would be a part of the Evaluation System. This element should not be eliminated from the IAC's study and review. Mr. Francis agreed it was necessary to retain this aspect within the Evaluation System, but that it will need constant refinement as well as other elements of the Evaluation System. Adjustments will be made from time to time to the system to reflect new facets to be taken into consideration in the evaluation of projects.

The consensus was that the Administrator and staff of the IAC through the Technical Advisory Committee will review the environmental impact factor in the Evaluation System with a view of perhaps amending the scoring system in respect to it.

Mr. Tollefson asked whether projects not recommended at this meeting would be coming back for the Committee's consideration at the April 1974 funding session. The chairman assured him this would be the case.

MR. TOLLEFSON MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. ODEGAARD, THAT

THE LOCAL PROJECTS AS RECOMMENDED BY STAFF AND LISTED ON PAGE 25 OF THESE MINUTES BE APPROVED FOR FUNDING;

THAT THESE PROJECTS ARE FOUND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN AS ADOPTED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON FEBRUARY 26, 1973, AND

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE IN ITS APPROVAL OF THESE PROJECTS FOR FUNDING AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S PROJECT AGREEMENT INSTRUMENTS WITH THE LISTED PROJECTS SPONSOR AND TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THAT WHICH HAS BEEN LISTED FOR EACH PROJECT, UPON EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT AGREEMENTS BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY AND UPON PERFORMANCE BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Mr. Francis stated there would be approximately forty projects to come before the Committee at the April 1974 session. This will include the twelve projects not funded at the meeting today but these would, of course, be evaluated against any new projects. Mr. Bishop then asked that the applicants of the twelve projects be officially notified that this is the case. Mr. Francis assured him all applicants having projects considered at a specific IAC meeting are notified of the status of their project following the IAC meeting.

1

2

3

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE: 10/11/64
TO: SAC, NEW YORK
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK
SUBJECT: [Illegible]

[Illegible typed text]

[Illegible typed text]

[Illegible typed text]

[Illegible typed text]

V B. State Agency Project Presentation:

(2) Parks and Recreation Commission: Mr. Glenn Moore, Project Officer, referred to memorandum of staff dated December 10, 1973, "Parks and Recreation Commission Projects". Slides were shown of three projects being considered: Pacific Beach, South Beach (Midway) and McMicken Island.

(a) Pacific Beach State Park: Acquisition of 2.09 acres consisting of 19 lots as an addition to the existing 4.97 acre Pacific Beach State Park located in community of Pacific Beach. \$120,000 - Ref. 28 \$60,000 and BOR \$60,000.

(b) South Beach - Midway Phase II: Acquisition of 44.44 acres, including 880 lineal feet of ocean beach frontage as an addition to the 104-acre South Beach Midway State Park Site, located 1/2 mile south of Grayland State Park. \$212,520 - Ref. 28 \$106,260; BOR \$106,260.

(c) McMicken Island: Acquisition of an 11-acre island including 1,661 feet of tidelands. The total island perimeter is 3,213 feet with State of Washington owning the remaining 1,552 feet of tidelands. Acquisition included improvements, including a residence. Mr. Moore reported that staff recommended holding this project over until the April meeting due to changes in the initial proposal. In negotiating with the owner, he had requested a portion of the property be retained in a life-estate for some thirty-five years. Prior to the addition of the life estate impact, staff had been ready to recommend approval of the project. Mr. Odegaard then clarified the situation. The property in question would only be used in the summer time and consisted of a very small portion of the overall acquisition. The project had not basically changed and is highly desirable to meet the needs of the recreating public. He stated if the project were not approved, the land value would escalate in price and cause higher appraisal figures. Mr. Francis pointed out the life estate would represent about 1/10th of the surface area on the island. Because the conditions under which the life estate would be maintained were as yet unknown, he recommended approval of the project be withheld pending further exploration by staff in the life estate arrangement. There followed considerable discussion on the life estate arrangement and its affect on the acquisition of the property.

Following discussion, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ROTTLER, SECONDED BY MR. BISHOP, THAT THE FOLLOWING PROJECTS SUBMITTED BY THE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION ARE FOUND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN ADOPTED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON FEBRUARY 26, 1973, AND

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVES THESE PROJECTS AS RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING AND AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S PROJECT CONTRACT INSTRUMENT WITH THE LISTED PROJECTS' SPONSOR AND TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THAT WHICH HAS BEEN LISTED FOR EACH PROJECT, UPON EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT CONTRACTS BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY AND UPON PERFORMANCE BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREIN.

STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION

PACIFIC BEACH	REF. 28	\$ 60,000	BOR	\$ 60,000	\$120,000
SOUTH BEACH					
MIDWAY PHASE II	REF. 28	106,260	BOR	106,260	<u>212,520</u>
					\$332,520

MOTION WAS CARRIED

McMicken Island was then considered as a separate motion.

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ROTTLER, SECONDED BY MR. BELL THAT THE ACQUISITION OF McMICKEN ISLAND IS FOUND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN ADOPTED BY THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE ON FEBRUARY 26, 1973, AND

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVES THIS PROJECT AS RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING AND AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S PROJECT CONTRACT INSTRUMENT WITH THE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION AND TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THAT WHICH HAS BEEN LISTED FOR THE McMICKEN ISLAND PROJECT, UPON EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT CONTRACT BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY AND UPON PERFORMANCE BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREIN,

WITH THE PROVISO THAT THE STAFF OF THE IAC SATISFY ITSELF AS TO THE LEGALITY OF THE LIFE ESTATE LEASEHOLD ARRANGEMENT THROUGH LEGAL DOCUMENTATION, AND SUCH DOCUMENTATION BE MADE A PART OF THE OFFICIAL FILE ON THE McMICKEN ISLAND ACQUISITION PROJECT.

	STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION	
<u>McMICKEN ISLAND</u>	INIT. 215 FUNDING	\$ 124,000

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

(1) Department of Game: Prior to the slide program for the Department of Game's recommended projects, Mr. Lofgren asked Mr. Francis to comment on the proposed funding for those projects being considered. The Committee had expressed an interest in funding additional local agencies projects with LWCF funding if at all possible at the present session. Mr. Francis stated through last minute negotiations an additional "loan" of LWCF funds from the Department of Game would be possible to assist local agencies and still create a zero balance in LWCF funding to qualify for Special Contingency Funding. He outlined the situation and stated the Department of Game was willing to "loan" its LWCF monies to the local agencies with the understanding that such funds would be "reimbursed" to the Department of Game by the IAC in the future. Further, six of the Department of Game projects to be considered at this session would be funded from Referendum 18 rather than LWCF as had been proposed by staff.

Mr. Francis then stated the Poulsbo, Liberty Bay Park and Marina project, which was next in line in the listing of evaluation scoring for projects, could be funded by this means if the Committee so desired. Approximately \$235,000 would be required to fund Poulsbo.

Mr. Francis noted that it had been determined by IAC staff and the Department of Game that Tennant Lake now met all the qualifications necessary for funding and was being placed back into the Department of Game recommended projects.

Mr. Glenn Moore gave the slide presentation.

(a) Twin Lakes: Deleted from the agenda.

(b) Tennant Lake: Acquisition of 151 acres as the initial acquisition for the proposed Department of Game 600 acre Tennant Lake Wildlife Recreation Area, located one mile south of Ferndale in Whatcom County. Property has frontage on the Nooksack River and the 43 acre Hovander County Park is located 1/4 mile west of the proposed acquisition. The Department of Game and Whatcom County are proposing

a joint use recreation complex once acquisition is completed.

Mr. Dan Barnett, Dept. of Game, outlined the possibility of the joint operation of Tennant Lake with Whatcom County should they at some future time have a project adjacent to the Department of Game's area approved by the IAC for funding. In the ensuing discussion, it was pointed out that the Tennant Lake Game Department project would be a viable project with or without Whatcom County's participation at some future date. Mr. Bishop stated he wanted to go on record that should Whatcom County apply to the IAC for funding of a project in the Tennant Lake area adjacent to the Department of Game's project, such local agency project would customarily be evaluated on its own merits along with other local agency projects considered by the Committee at the same time, and it would not be given preference or an added measure of need within the Evaluation System because of the Department of Game's project. It was the consensus of the Committee that the Tennant Lake project proposed by the Department of Game would be a viable and complete project unto itself. Tennant Lake: \$86,150 Ref. 18

(3) Two Rivers WRA: Acquisition of two parcels totaling 375 acres as first phase in the establishment of new WRA in northwest Washington. Two miles north-east of City of Monroe. \$303,350 Ref. 18 \$51,650 LWCF.

(4) Stillwater WRA - Fulford: Acquisition of 22 acres as addition to the 426-acre Stillwater WRA, 3 miles north of Carnation, King County. \$30,000 Ref. 18.

(5) Mineral Lake: Acquisition of 3.49 acres located near existing boat launch to be developed into parking area and with sanitary facilities, \$5,500 Ref. 18.

Mr. Odegaard questioned the parking and the safety features of this project. Mr. James Brigham, Dept. of Game, stated there would be future development for an easement to allow foot traffic, etc.

(6) Washougal River - Bean: Acquisition of .3 acres including 300 feet of frontage on Washougal River, Clark County, for development of minimal parking and trails for foot access to Washougal River, near town of Washougal. \$3,400 Ref. 18.

(7) Colockum WRA: Acquisition of 343-acre in-holding within Dept. of Game's Quilomene Section of Colockum WRA, eastern Kittitas County. \$150,000 Ref. 18.

Mr. Moore reported there could be possible joint use of this area with State Parks and Recreation Commission at some future time.

Following review of the Department of Game projects, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BISHOP, SECONDED BY MR. ODEGAARD THAT

THE PROJECTS SUBMITTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME ARE FOUND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE ON FEBRUARY 26, 1973, AND

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVES THESE PROJECTS AS RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING AND AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S CONTRACT INSTRUMENT WITH THE PROJECTS SPONSOR AND TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN THE AMOUNTS NOT TO EXCEED THOSE SHOWN BELOW, UPON

EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT CONTRACTS BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY AND UPON PERFORMANCE BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREIN.

DEPARTMENT OF GAME

TENNANT LAKE	\$ 86,150))
TWO RIVERS WRA	303,350)	\$51,650 LWCF)
STILLWATER WAR	30,000))
MINERAL LAKE	5,500)	REF. 18)
WASHOUGAL RIVER-BEAN	3,400))
COLOCKYM WRA	150,000))
			\$630,050
			TOTAL

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

City of Poulsbo, Liberty Bay Park and Marina: Mr. Francis pointed out it was now possible to fund the City of Poulsbo's project using LWCF funds of the Department of Game through "loan" arrangements. IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ODEGAARD, SECONDED BY MR. BELL THAT,

THE CITY OF POULSBO, LIBERTY BAY PARK AND MARINA PROJECT, IS FOUND TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE ON FEBRUARY 26, 1973, AND

THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE APPROVES THIS PROJECT AS RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING AND AUTHORIZES THE ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE'S CONTRACT WITH THE CITY OF POULSBO AND TO DISBURSE FUNDS FROM THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN THE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED THAT SHOWN BELOW, UPON EXECUTION OF THE PROJECT CONTRACT BY THE CITY OF POULSBO AND UPON PERFORMANCE BY THE CITY OF POULSBO OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THEREIN.

<u>REF. 28</u>	<u>LWCF</u>	<u>LOCAL SHARE</u>
\$ 117,412.50	\$ 234,825.00	\$ 117,412.50

MR. LOFGREN NOTED FOR THE RECORD THE PROXY AFFIRMATIVE VOTE OF MRS. LEMERE CONCERNING THE CITY OF POULSBO, LIBERTY BAY PARK AND MARINA PROJECT. (*appendix "B"*).

QUESTION WAS CALLED FOR ON THE MOTION AND IT WAS CARRIED.

VI. Administrator's Report: Mr. Francis reported on the following:

- (1) State Trails Symposium sponsored jointly by the Interagency Committee, the Wenatchee Daily World and the Extension Service, Washington State University, will be held March 30-31, 1974, Wenatchee High School, Wenatchee.
- (2) Grant-in-Aid Workshops: Staff of IAC and Washington Recreation Park Society will coordinate grant-in-aid workshops to be held throughout the state, March 1974.
- (3) State and local agency projects: IAC staff will concentrate between now and April on state and local agency projects already funded to resolve problems and clear up billings.
- (4) Implications of NEPA and SEPA: Reported on meeting with Natural Resources Sub-cabinet members and Governor's representative, Tony Anderson. Haworth and Associates have been asked to evolve a management system for the State Environmental

Policy Act. Mr. Francis expressed his concern regarding the recommendation that every significant action on the part of the state for land acquisition and/or development be accompanied by an environmental statement. This would include application for state or federal monies. In future discussions with Mr. Anderson, this point will be brought out as well as those expressed by the Committee and Mr. Bert Cole.

(5) State Agency Capital Budget: Is on schedule and meetings have been held with state agency representatives. Possibility of meeting with the Sub-committee on Finance of the IAC by May, 1974. Mr. Lofgren suggested this meeting be held in Spokane.

(6) State of Oregon: Oregon has expressed interest in learning of IAC's involvement in the Referendum 28 and Referendum 18 campaigns. IAC will cooperate in giving them the background material, etc.

(7) Interns: Reported Jan Kern, Russ Root, Jeff Parks, Jeanie Steiman will be interning with the agency during next three months or so. Working in areas of legislation, cost components for construction re projects, photograph lab, and planning and coordination.

Mr. Francis thanked Mrs. Mylroie and the Department of Highways for making the facilities of their building available to the IAC. On behalf of the Committee Mr. Lofgren wished Mr. Don Erickson well on his retirement in January 1974.

~~VII. Committee Directors' Reports: Mr. Odegaard reported that the State Parks and Recreation Commission would be picking up about \$220,000 for the Green River Gorge through the reimbursement from HUD.~~

It was moved by Mr. Bell, seconded by Mr. Rottler, that the meeting adjourn. 3:12 p.m. Motion was carried.

RATIFIED BY THE COMMITTEE

as amended
4/22-23, 1974
Warren A. Bishop
WARREN LOFGREN, Chairman

AMENDED: 4-22-74

the State Parks and Recreation Commission will be receiving \$255,526 for the Green River Gorge via HUD reimbursement; in addition the Commission expects to receive \$94,494 via Bureau of Reclamation reimbursement for campground development utilizing IAC funds at Lake Easton State Park.

APPENDIX "A"
12-10-73

RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE
INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

1. WAC 286-06-010 PURPOSE.

The purpose of this chapter shall be to ensure compliance by the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation with the provisions of chapter 1, Laws of 1973 (Initiative 276), codified as chapter 42.17 RCW, Disclosure-Campaign-Finances-Lobbying-Records; and in particular with §§ 25-34 of that act, dealing with public records.

2. WAC 286-06-020 DEFINITIONS.

(1) PUBLIC RECORDS

"Public record" includes any writing containing information relating to the conduct of governmental or the performance of any governmental or proprietary function prepared, owned, used or retained by any state or local agency regardless of physical form or characteristics.

(2) WRITING

"Writing means handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photographing, and every other means of recording any form of communication or representation, including letters, words, pictures, sounds; or symbols, or combination thereof, and all papers, maps, magnetic or paper tapes, photographic films and prints, magnetic or punched cards, discs, drums and other documents."

(3) INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

The Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation is the 12 member committee comprised of the Commissioner of Public Lands, Director of Public Highways Department, Director of the Ecology Department, Director of the Game Department, Director of the Fisheries Department, Director of the Parks and Recreation Commission, Director of the Department of Commerce and Economic Development and five citizens appointed by the Governor from the public for a term of three years. The chairman of the committee is appointed by the Governor from the five citizen members.

3. WAC 286-06-030 DESCRIPTION OF THE ORGANIZATION OF THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

(1) Committee.

The Committee, created by Initiative 215 (Marine Recreation Land Act of 1964) is authorized to allocate and administer funds to local and state agencies from the state general fund Outdoor Recreation Account. For more detailed description of the sources of funding and related duties, see WAC 286-04-020 detailing such special information.

4. WAC 286-06-040 OPERATIONS AND PROCEDURES.

The Committee staff workers perform under the direction of an administrator appointed by the Committee. The office of the committee and its staff are located at 4800 Capitol Boulevard, Tumwater, Washington 98504. The Committee functions through regular meetings which are held according to a schedule adopted by the Committee which schedule is reviewed periodically as the need dictates. Special meetings are authorized to be called by the chairman at any time. See WAC 286-04-030 for specific rules and objectives adopted by the Committee for its own guidance.

5. WAC 286-06-050 PUBLIC RECORDS AVAILABLE.

All public records of the Committee, as defined in WAC 286-06-020 are deemed to be available for public inspection and copying pursuant to these rules, except as otherwise provided by state or federal law, § 31, chapter 1, Laws of 1973 and WAC 286-06-100.

6. WAC 286-06-060 PUBLIC RECORDS OFFICER.

The Committee's public records shall be in charge of a Public Records Officer designated by the Committee chairman. The

records disclosure requirements of chapter 1, Laws of 1973.

7. WAC 286-06-070 OFFICE HOURS.

Public records shall be available for inspection and copying during the customary office hours of the Committee. For the purposes of this chapter, the customary office hours shall be consistent with RCW 42.04.060 as now or hereafter amended, i.e., from 8:00 a.m. to noon and from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

8. WAC 286-06-080 REQUESTS FOR PUBLIC RECORDS.

In accordance with requirements of chapter 1, Laws of 1973 that agencies prevent unreasonable invasions of privacy, protect public records from damage or disorganization, and prevent excessive interference with essential functions of the agency, public records may be inspected or copied or copies of such records may be obtained consistent with these concepts by members of the public, upon compliance with the following procedures:

- (1) A request shall be made in writing upon a form prescribed by the Committee which shall be available at its administrative office. The form shall be presented to the public records officer or his designee if the public records officer is not available, at the office of the Committee during customary office hours. The request shall include the following information:
 - (a) The name of the person requesting the record;
 - (b) The time of day and calendar date on which the request was made;
 - (c) The nature of the request;
 - (d) If the matter requested is referenced within the

current index maintained by the Committee.

(2) In all cases in which a member of the public is making a request, it shall be the obligation of the public records officer or staff member to whom the request is made to assist the member of the public in appropriately identifying the public record requested.

9. WAC 286-06-090 COPYING.

No fee shall be charged for the inspection of public records. The Committee shall charge a reasonable fee per page of copy for providing copies of public records and for use of the Committee's copy equipment. This charge is the amount necessary to reimburse the Committee for its actual costs incident to such copying.

10. WAC 286-06-100 EXEMPTIONS.

(1) The Committee reserves the right to determine that a public record requested in accordance with the procedures outlined in WAC 286-06-080 is exempt under the provisions of state or federal law, or § 31, chapter 1, Laws of 1973.

(2) In addition, pursuant to § 26, chapter 1, Laws of 1973, the Committee reserves the right to delete identifying details when it makes available or publishes any public record, in any cases when there is reason to believe that disclosure of such details would be an invasion of personal privacy protected by chapter 1, Laws of 1973.

(3) All denials of requests for public records, in whole or part, must be accompanied by a written statement specifying the reason for the denial, including a statement of the specific exemption authorizing the withholding of the record (or part) and a brief explanation of how the exemption applies to the record withheld.

records officer or his designee which constituted or accompanied the denial.

(2) Promptly after receiving a written request for review of a decision denying a public record, the public records officer or his designee denying the request shall refer it to the Committee's Administrator or his designee. The Administrator or his designee shall promptly consider the matter, either affirm or reverse such denial after consulting with the Committee chairman and/or the Attorney General's Office wherever possible to review the denial. In any case, the request shall be returned with a final decision wherever possible within two business days following the original denial.

(3) Administrative remedies shall not be considered exhausted until the Committee has returned the petition with a decision or until the close of the second business day following denial of inspection, whichever occurs first.

12. WAC 286-06-120 PROTECTION OF PUBLIC RECORDS.

Records shall not be removed from the place designated for their inspection.

13. WAC 286-06-130 RECORDS INDEX.

(1) AVAILABILITY.

The current indexes promulgated by the Committee shall be available to all persons under the same rules and on the same conditions as are applied to public records available for inspection.

14. WAC 286-06-140 COMMITTEE ADDRESS.

All communications with the Committee pertaining to the administration of chapter 1, Laws of 1973 and these rules shall be addressed as follows: I.A.C., c/o Public Records Officer, 4800 Capitol Boulevard, Tumwater, Washington 98504.

15. WAC 286-06-150 ADOPTION OF FORM.

12-10-73

DECEMBER MINUTES - DEC. 10-11, 1973

MADLINE LEMERE'S PROXY VOTE ON POULSBORO PROJECT:

I am - I'm going
to leave -
I would be
willing to vote
for the Paulsboro
thing if they work
out the financing
Mad

CERTIFIED AS TRUE
PROXY VOTE RECEIVED AT TIME OF 12-11-73 MEETING

12-11-73

Maryanne M. Brazier
M. M. BRAZIER, ADMIN. SEC.

IAC

MADLINE LEMERE, MEMBER, IAC

STATE OF WASHINGTON

Department of
Natural Resources

COMMISSIONER
BERT COLE

DON LEE FRASER
SUPERVISOR



OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON
98504

June 21, 1973



Mr. Omar Lofgren, Chairman
Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation
4800 Capitol Blvd.
Tumwater, WA 98504

Dear Omar:

I am sorry to say that I will be unable to attend the special meeting of the Interagency Committee on June 22 at the Evergreen Inn here in Olympia as I have a previous appointment.

There will be two matters considered at the meeting - the ATV Guidelines and the Procedural Guidelines for Grant-In-Aid-Assistance. These will be up for final adoption.

I have made several suggestions for changes in both documents through my staff and by letter to the IAC members. I request that the committee give favorable consideration to these proposals.

However, I wish to vote for the adoption of both the Procedural Guidelines and the ATV Guidelines whether or not my suggested changes are accepted by the committee.

Sincerely,

BERT L. COLE
Commissioner of Public Lands

BLC:fw

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 21st day
of June 1973.

Mary Jane Balster
Notary Public in and for the
State of Washington residing
at Olympia

WASHINGTON STATE

DEVELOPING THE ECONOMY THRU • TOURISM • INDUSTRY • RESEARCH • FOREIGN TRADE • NUCLEAR PROGRESS

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
GENERAL ADMINISTRATION BLDG.
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98504



Daniel K. Ward DIRECTOR
Daniel Glavin GOVERNOR

JUN 22 1973

TO: Omar Lofgren, Chairman
Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation

FROM: Robert A. Anderson
Acting Director *Bob Anderson*

SUBJECT: Meeting, June 22, 1973

Due to the fact that I will be absent from the Interagency Committee meeting on June 22, 1973, I would like to make my position known on the following item:

I am in favor of the recommended action Mr. Francis made on May 29, 1973, to adopt the Guidelines for ATV Funds, the adoption of the Procedural Guidelines for Grant-in-aid Assistance for State Agencies, and the Procedural Guidelines for Grant-in-aid Assistance for Local Agencies. If there should be only minor changes leaving intact the major items as proposed, I would cast a "yes" vote to such a recommendation.

I am sorry I cannot attend this meeting but I can be reached by phone at the Quay Inn in Vancouver should you need further comment regarding the approve stated position.

MS:51/9



WASHINGTON
Department of
FISHERIES

APPENDIX "B" - 3

DANIEL J. EVANS
GOVERNOR

ROOM 115, GENERAL ADMINISTRATION BUILDING • PHONE 753-6600
OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON 98504

THOR C. TOLLEFSON
DIRECTOR

June 21, 1973

Mr. Omar Lofgren, Chairman
Interagency Committee for
Outdoor Recreation
4800 Capitol Boulevard
Olympia, Washington 98504

Dear Mr. Lofgren:

Due to my inability to be in attendance at the continued meeting of the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation as scheduled for June 22, 1973 at the Evergreen Inn, I am taking this means to express my position regarding the items to be discussed.

In relation to the APA Rules - Procedural Guidelines for All-Terrain Vehicles, and regarding funding for ATV, I am in accord with these and wish to have my vote recorded in the affirmative.

In regard to the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation Procedural Guidelines for Grant-in-Aid Assistance - Local Agencies, I am in accord with these and wish to have my vote recorded as in the affirmative.

Regarding the Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation Procedural Guidelines for Grant-in-Aid Assistance - State Agencies, I am in accord with these and wish to have my vote recorded in the affirmative.

Recognizing that the above items represent an immediate need for orderly and timely business of the Interagency Committee, I am in favor of having these adopted on an emergency basis. If such is the desire of the Committee, I concur; and please record my vote in the affirmative.

Sincerely,

Thor C. Tollefson
Thor C. Tollefson
Director

MEMORANDUM

INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR
OUTDOOR RECREATION

APPENDIX "C"

TO: File

Date: 7/5/73

From: Rich Costello

Subject: FY/1973 ATV Fund Distribution

ATV Fund Distribution Table

Of:

	\$ 116,565.39 - ATV Fees (collected 2/27/72 - 4/30/73)
	<u>923,147.84</u> - ATV Fuel Tax (collected 2/27/72 - 10/31/72)
Total	\$1,039,714.23

The following distribution is to be prepared for by staff as per Guidelines for ATV Funds, adopted June 22, 1973 by the IAC.

State Agencies

AS CORRECTED BY MR. COSTELLO 6/22

		<u>% of Total</u>
Department of Game	\$ 57,929.23	5.61%
Parks and Recreation Commission	45,331.43	4.39%
Department of Natural Resources	<u>643,399.85*</u>	61.62%
State Agency Total	\$746,660.51	71.62%

Local Agencies

Asotin County	\$ 4,027.17	0.39%
Chelan County	2,271.73	0.22%
Ferry County	516.30	0.05%
Franklin County	62,162.91	6.02%
Grant County	1,135.87	0.11%
Grays Harbor County	14,972.79	1.45%
Jefferson County	1,548.91	0.15%
King County	37,896.66	3.67%
Mason County	103.26	0.01%
Pacific County	929.35	0.09%
Spokane County	14,766.27	1.43%
Thurston County	4,027.16	0.39%
Walla Walla County	1,239.13	0.12%
Whatcom County	131,141.03	12.70%
Whitman County	3,820.64	0.37%
Yakima County	<u>12,494.54</u>	1.21%
Local Agency Total	\$293,053.72	28.38%

*Made up of \$636,292.14 based on inventory and \$7,107.71 as per RCW 46.09.110 for administration cost and for implementation of said Chapter.

C