

May 26, 1969

- I A. Opening of Meeting, Determination of a Quorum, Introductions, Additions and approval of minutes of April 8, 1969, Additions to agenda
  - B. Natural Resources Department presentation - 1969-71 biennium
  - C. State Park's Puget Sound and Adjacent Waters Access Program
- Additions to agenda

OLD BUSINESS

- II A. Status reports - fiscal, projects, planning
    - 1. Fiscal Status Report
    - 2. Planning Status Report
    - 3. Project Status Report (and projects not moving report)
  - B. Appraisal Format Revision - short form - Dept. of Game
- Visitations to sites discussed
- C. Elimination of Surcharge
  - D. 1. Department of Game
    - (a) Seven Statewide Water Access Sites
    - (b) High Valley, Stage 1
  - 2. State Parks and Recreation Commission
    - (a) Anderson Lake, Final Phase
- E. Legislative Report

NEW BUSINESS

- III Local Agency Reimbursement
  - A. Sale of Bonds and Interfund Loan
  - B. Federal Reimbursement of Indirect State Costs
  - C. Employment of Additional Staff
  - D. Spokane River Waterfront presentation
  - F. Approval of Local Projects
    - Model Neighborhood project, City of Seattle
  - E. Operating Procedures

1. Quarterly funding of Local Projects

2. Retroactive Funding

G. 1969-71 Biennium State Agency Presentations

1. (a) Department of Game, 1969-71 Biennium program presentation

(b) Boat Launching Development Project, Dept. of Game

(c) Statewide Water Access Program 1969-71 biennium, Dept. of Game

2. State Parks and Recreation Commission

3. Expressions of Opinions by IAC

H. August meeting date

MINUTES OF THE  
REGULAR MEETING OF THE  
INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION

---

9:00 a.m. Monday, May 26, 1969

Holiday Inn, John Holiday Room #1  
4212 Sunset Boulevard, Spokane, Washington

---

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. Lewis A. Bell, Chairman; Mr. Warren A. Bishop; Mr. Omar Lofgren; Mrs. Frederick Lemere, Mr. Jack Rottler, Mr. John A. Biggs, Director, Department of Game; Honorable Bert L. Cole, Commissioner of Public Lands; Mr. Charles H. Odegaard, Director, Parks and Recreation Commission;

MEMBERS ABSENT

Mr. Charles G. PrahI, Director, Department of Highways; Mr. Daniel B. Ward, Director, Department of Commerce and Economic Development; Mr. Thor C. Tollefson, Director, Department of Fisheries.

STAFF OF MEMBER AGENCIES PRESENT:

Department of Highways

Mrs. Willa Mylroie, Research Engineer

Department of Fisheries

Mr. Elmer Quistorff, Assistant Chief, Contract Services

Department of Game

Mr. Stan Scott, Recreation Resource Specialist

State Parks and Recreation Commission

Mr. Milt Martin, Recreation Resource Specialist

Planning and Community Affairs Agency

Mr. Arden Olson, Planner

Attorney General's Office

Mr. Mort Tytler, Assistant Attorney General

Department of Commerce and Economic Development

Mr. John Swan, Industrial Planner

Commissioner of Public Lands Office

Mr. A. O'Donnell, Technical Assistant

Mr. Lloyd R. Bell, Administrative Assistant

Legislative Budget Committee

Mr. Don Petersen, Fiscal Analyst

Central Budget Agency

Mr. Ray Berlin, Budget Analyst

Interagency Committee for Outdoor Recreation

Mr. Ed V. Putnam, Acting Administrator

Mr. R. Philip Clark, Recreation Resource Specialist

Mr. R. Leighton Pratt, Recreation Resource Specialist

Mr. Kenn Cole, Accountant

Mrs. Marjorie M. Frazier, Administrative Secretary

LOCAL TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mr. Bill Fearn, City of Spokane - Director, Parks and Recreation

Mr. Thomas Ryan, King County - Assistant Superintendent, Park Recreation Department

Mr. Andrew Pendergast, City of Bremerton - Superintendent, Parks and Recreation

REPRESENTATIVES OF OTHER AGENCIES PRESENT:

Mr. Michael S. Wright, Bureau of Outdoor Recreation

Mr. Edgar F. Neal, Open Space Operation Officer, Housing and Urban Development Agency

I A. Opening of Meeting, Determination of Quorum, Introductions, Additions and approval of minutes of April 8, 1969, Additions to agenda

Chairman Bell called the meeting to order at 9:20 a.m., declaring a quorum present (eight members).

Approval of minutes, April 8, 1969: Corrections to the minutes were called for by the Chairman.

Mr. Biggs suggested the following:

Page 4, Item III - Appraisal considerations -- delete "could aptly require" in last sentence of first paragraph and insert "would benefit from" making the sentence read, "He then referred to the Game Department's memorandum of March 25, 1969, which listed four proposals under the Statewide Water Access Program which would benefit from adoption of a short-form appraisal report for justification rather than the detailed description and analysis now required."

Page 6, Item VII - Statewide Outdoor Recreation and Open Space Plan motion for adoption, fifth line and seventh line, change word "qualifying" to "clarifying" to indicate that clarifying language would be considered until April 11, 1969, for insertion in the Plan.

Mr. Rottler pointed out a change should be made in the percentage figure on page 2, second sentence of the last paragraph to indicate "0.86" rather than ".0086". This referred to the Marine Fuel Tax Study Report for 1968.

Willa Mylroie referred her proposed correction to Mr. John Biggs for clarification. Mr. Biggs then suggested that the first sentence of paragraph 5 on Page 5 be deleted

in its entirety and the following sentence inserted in its place:

"Mr. Biggs then commented on the legislative attempt to line item and allocate IAC funds thereby taking the initiative and responsibility for disbursement away from the IAC."

IT WAS MOVED BY MR. COLE, SECONDED BY MR. ODEGAARD THAT THE MINUTES BE APPROVED AS CORRECTED ABOVE. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

I B. Natural Resources Department presentation

Mr. Lloyd Bell, Department of Natural Resources, presented a slide projector program to the IAC members in explanation of the Department's 1969-71 biennial program. Slides were shown indicating the department's role in fire control and forest fire protection, forest research and betterment of facilities, conservation education, timber harvesting, forest protection and reforestation, grazing, wheat land acreage, recreation on trust lands throughout the state, camping programs, etc. Slides of six areas of multiple use were shown and explained: Yacolt area; Tahuya Peninsula, Capitol Forest, Ahtanum, Sultan-Pilchuck, and the Marckworth Forest. The department's plan to construct three small outdoor recreation sites for camping for families with a retarded or physically handicapped child was explained.

I C. State Park's Puget Sound and Adjacent Waters Access Program

The Chairman then called on Mr. Odegaard for presentation of the Parks and Recreation Commission's "Puget Sound and Adjacent Waters Access Program" as indicated in memorandum to the IAC dated May 22, 1969. Their official proposal for approximately \$1,275,000.00 of funds to be expended over the next four years for the Puget Sound and Adjacent Waters Boating Access program will be made at the IAC's August, 1969, meeting.

Chairman Bell thanked both Mr. Bell and Mr. Odegaard for their presentations and their departmental efforts in the recreational field.

Additions to the agenda were called for, and the following included:

III (prior to A) - Local agency reimbursement. Question of whether IAC will continue to require local agencies to purchase 100% before they will be reimbursed or whether IAC might make monies available to local agencies upon their satisfying the IAC that they have their contributing share ready and available for expenditure.

III A - Interfund loan (to be discussed with Sale of Bonds).

G 3 - Expressions of opinions by IAC. Some of the committee and staff feel IAC could accomplish worthwhile actions through expressions of its opinion to the Governor on various controversial subjects which might be detrimental to recreational values within the state, i.e., construction of dams on rivers, etc.

II OLD BUSINESS

II A. Status Reports - fiscal, projects, planning.

1. Fiscal Status Report - Mr. Kenn Cole, IAC Accountant, referred to IAC memorandum dated May 19, 1969, entitled "Status Reports", and presented the

fiscal status report to the Committee. Three tables were explained: Status Report of Operating Expense, Fund Summary (April 30, 1969), and Disbursement Record (February 1 - April 30, 1969). He asked whether the Disbursement Record report should be continued or whether it was of any assistance to the members. The Chairman and members asked that it be continued.

2. Planning Status Report - Mr. Phil Clark, Recreation Resource Specialist, in reference to the same memorandum on Status Reports, noted that the Washington Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation and Open Space Plan had been sent to the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation on April 28, 1969, with appropriate letter of submittal from the IAC Chairman, for BOR review and ultimate approval. Three hundred copies of the final plan will be printed, with fewer copies being made up of the four technical reports since the demand for these would not be as great. Fifty copies of the final report will be furnished to the State Library.

Following completion of the above reports, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. LOFGREN, SECONDED BY MR. ROTTLER, THAT THE FISCAL STATUS REPORT AND PLANNING REPORT BE ACCEPTED AND APPROVED. MOTION CARRIED.

3. Project Status Report - Mr. Clark then referred to item "Project Status" in the memorandum of May 19, 1969, entitled "Status Reports". He explained the removal by Benton County of Horn Rapids and the Two Rivers Development projects and their resubmission to the Committee at the May meeting with substantial increases in cost and scope. He stated that the following list of projects are not moving satisfactorily:

<u>Agency</u>	<u>Project</u>
Anacortes	Causland, Shannon Point Acquisition
Whatcom County	Boat Launching Ramp Acquisition & Development
Pierce County	Swan Creek Acquisition
Pierce County	Seeley Lake Acquisition
Mountlake Terrace	Ballinger Island Acquisition
Tacoma Metro. Park Dist.	Titlow Acquisition
Thurston County	Long Lake Development

These agencies will be advised that it will be necessary for them to furnish information on the status of their projects and indicate a date when completion is anticipated. They will also be informed that unless they can complete their projects within a reasonable length of time, state funding may be withdrawn and the available funds allotted to local agencies who can make more immediate use of the funds.

Attention was then directed to the Project Status Report attached to the memorandum of May 19, 1969, and explanations given in response to questions from the Committee members. IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BISHOP, SECONDED BY MR. COLE, THAT THE PROJECT STATUS REPORT BE ACCEPTED AND APPROVED. MOTION CARRIED.

Mr. Bell reiterated his concern that those projects not moving be reviewed and a staff report submitted to the IAC members as soon as possible on their status. Mrs. Lemere suggested a similar report be made on the completed projects to help

in answering such questions as: How are these projects being accepted by the public and are they receiving good use? Chairman Bell then directed the staff to submit both a report on completed projects and those not moving.

II B Appraisal Format Revision - short form

This item was deleted from discussion at the Monday meeting, it having been discussed at the Sunday, May 25, briefing session. The formal resolution to adopt the short form appraisal format was deferred until the August IAC meeting. However, the Game Department was authorized by the IAC to use the short form in the interim on a "try out" basis.

WAS NOT BROUGHT UP.

Visitation to Sites - At this point, the Chairman recommended to the members visitations by at least one member of the Committee to proposed projects prior to their approval. He suggested civilian members of the IAC check out projects in the areas in which they reside, and that state agencies could have a representative of their department visit certain sites which they might be called upon to review. The Chairman suggested that the ~~staff~~ make a recommendation on this matter at the August meeting.

II C Elimination of Surcharge

The Committee's attention was directed to the memorandum of May 19, 1969, entitled "Elimination of Surcharge". IT WAS MOVED BY MR. BIGGS, SECONDED BY MR. COLE, THAT NO SURCHARGE BE APPLIED TO ANY PROJECTS APPROVED FOR FUNDING AT, AND SUBSEQUENT TO, THE MAY, 1969, MEETING INCLUDING THOSE LOCAL AGENCY PROJECTS WHICH WERE PROVISIONALLY APPROVED AT THE NOVEMBER, 1968, MEETING; THAT THIS POLICY WOULD NOT APPLY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME'S SEVEN STATEWIDE WATER ACCESS SITES CONSIDERED AT THIS MEETING BECAUSE THESE SITES ARE INCLUDED IN THE STATEWIDE WATER ACCESS PROGRAM FUNDED AND CONTRACTED IN THE CURRENT BIENNIUM. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

II D 1 Department of Game

II D 1 (a) Seven Statewide Water Access Sites - The Chairman deviated from the agenda and called attention to memorandum of the IAC dated May 26, 1969, entitled "Seven Statewide Water Access Sites". Mr. Biggs asked Mr. Stan Scott to make the presentation for the Department of Game. Mr. Scott, in turn, introduced Mr. Merton D. Box, Civil Engineer, Department of Game, who assisted him in the presentation and was available for any questions. Map and slides of the seven sites being proposed for funding were shown. Mr. Clark corrected the figure of \$97,100 on page two, line two, of the memorandum to \$97,120. Following Mr. Scott's presentation, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ROTTLE, SECONDED BY MR. COLE THAT,

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FINDS THE SKRUMEDA PROPERTY ON THE KALAMA RIVER, WEYERHAUSER ON WILSON CREEK AND THE WILLAPA RIVER, REED ON THE NORTH LEWIS RIVER, PARKER/MARIAN ON THE GREEN RIVER, ROMO ON THE SKYKOMISH RIVER, BRULOTTE ON THE YAKIMA RIVER, AND BEZONA ON THE GRANDE RONDE RIVER AS THE FINAL SITES TO BE APPROVED IN THE 67-69 STATEWIDE WATER ACCESS PROGRAM, TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN, ADOPTED BY THIS

COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969; AND

WHEREAS, THE COMMITTEE FINDS THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME HAS COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF SUBMITTING TO THE COMMITTEE A SIX-YEAR PLAN FOR ACQUIRING AND DEVELOPING OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES WITHIN ITS AUTHORITY, INCLUDING NECESSARY SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS, AND HAS SATISFIED THE CRITERIA PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE; AND

WHEREAS, SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION BY THE COMMITTEE TO MEET PROGRAM COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARINE RECREATION LAND ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED, (CHAPTER 5, LAWS OF 1965, CHAPTER 43.99 RCW, AS AMENDED), THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 11, CHAPTER 12, LAWS OF 1963, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION, CHAPTER 43.98 RCW) AND THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 18, CHAPTER 128, LAWS OF 1967, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION) SUBJECT TO SECURING AN ALLOTMENT WHERE NECESSARY FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE COMMITTEE HEREBY APPROVES THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS IN THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$97,120 AS SET FORTH IN THE AMOUNTS AND FUND SOURCES IN THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME MEMORANDUM ENTITLED "FINAL APPROVAL OF WATER ACCESS SITES DURING THE 1967-1969 BIENNIAL" PROVIDED THAT THE GAME DEPARTMENT SHALL EXECUTE ALL ASSURANCES AND CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS REQUIRED BY THE COMMITTEE AND SHALL PERFORM AND COMPLY WITH ALL PROVISIONS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SAME.

(KALAMA RIVER \$3,120; WILSON CREEK AND WILLAPA RIVER \$4,500; N. LEWIS RIVER \$11,500; GREEN RIVER \$3,000; SKYKOMISH RIVER \$32,000; YAKIMA RIVER \$20,000; AND GRAND RONDE RIVER \$23,000.)

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

11 D 1 (b) High Valley, Stage I - Mr. Scott referred to the staff memorandum to the IAC members dated May 26, 1969, entitled "High Valley, Stage I" with accompanying memo from the Department of Game dated April 22, 1969, requesting \$400,000 payment remaining on Stage I of High Valley due on or before September 1, 1969. Following Mr. Scott's presentation, Mr. Bishop inquired whether there would be inter-agency cooperation in this project in the development of camping areas and other recreational activities. Mr. Biggs replied that the Natural Resources Department would be developing certain types of camping facilities, but that these would be kept in a more or less primitive state in keeping with the proposed plans for this area. In reply to further questioning, he stated that any state agency could have a role in the use and development of the property since funds being used for its purchase come from public recreational funds. In other words, the land will be made available to all types of recreation. However, he pointed out that the Game Department would assume the burden of taxes on all of the property. MR. BISHOP MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. LOFGREN, THAT

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FINDS THE HIGH VALLEY PROJECT TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN, ADOPTED BY THIS COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969; AND

WHEREAS, THE COMMITTEE FINDS THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME HAS COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF SUBMITTING TO THE COMMITTEE A SIX-YEAR PLAN FOR ACQUIRING AND DEVELOPING OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES WITHIN ITS AUTHORITY, INCLUDING NECESSARY SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS, AND HAS SATISFIED THE CRITERIA PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY

THE COMMITTEE; AND

WHEREAS, SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION BY THE COMMITTEE TO MEET PROGRAM COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARINE RECREATION LAND ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED, (CHAPTER 5, LAWS OF 1965, CHAPTER 43.99 RCW, AS AMENDED), THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 11, CHAPTER 12, LAWS OF 1963, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION, CHAPTER 43.98 RCW) AND THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 18, CHAPTER 126, LAWS OF 1967, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION) SUBJECT TO SECURING AN ALLOTMENT WHERE NECESSARY FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE COMMITTEE HEREBY APPROVES THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS IN THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$400,000 TO COMPLETE THE FIRST STAGE OF HIGH VALLEY, PROVIDED THAT THE GAME DEPARTMENT SHALL EXECUTE ALL ASSURANCES AND CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS REQUIRED BY THE COMMITTEE AND SHALL PERFORM AND COMPLY WITH ALL PROVISIONS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SAME. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Mr. Bell complimented the Department of Game on its fine presentation and substantial accomplishments in the High Valley project. It was his feeling that this project provided for the first time an excellent opportunity to put into practice inter-agency cooperation and coordination and that the IAC members should see that this is accomplished. Mr. Biggs extended an invitation to all present to attend the dedication of the L. T. MURRAY WILDLIFE-RECREATION AREA in the High Valley Ranch on June 14, 1969.

11 D 2 State Parks and Recreation Commission

11 D 2 (a) Anderson Lake, Final Phase - Mr. Milt Martin called attention to the staff memorandum to the IAC dated May 26, 1969, entitled "Anderson Lake, Final Phase", and to a letter from the State Parks and Recreation Commission dated May 14, 1969, requesting \$112,800 for the final phase of the Anderson Lake project. MR. COLE MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. LOFGREN, THAT

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION FINDS THAT THE FINAL PHASE OF ANDERSON LAKE, IS CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN, ADOPTED BY THIS COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969; AND

WHEREAS, THE COMMITTEE FINDS THE STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION HAS COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF SUBMITTING TO THE COMMITTEE A SIX-YEAR PLAN FOR ACQUIRING AND DEVELOPING OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES WITHIN ITS AUTHORITY, INCLUDING NECESSARY SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS, AND HAS SATISFIED THE CRITERIA PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE; AND

WHEREAS, SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION BY THE COMMITTEE TO MEET PROGRAM COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARINE RECREATION LAND ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED, (CHAPTER 5, LAWS OF 1965, CHAPTER 43.99 RCW, AS AMENDED), THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 11, CHAPTER 12, LAWS OF 1963, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION, CHAPTER 43.98 RCW) AND THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 18, CHAPTER 126, LAWS OF 1967, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION) SUBJECT TO SECURING AN ALLOTMENT WHERE NECESSARY FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES; AND

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE COMMITTEE HEREBY APPROVE THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS IN THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$112,800, PROVIDED THAT THE STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION SHALL EXECUTE ALL ASSURANCES AND CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS REQUIRED BY THE COMMITTEE AND SHALL PERFORM AND COMPLY WITH ALL PROVISIONS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SAME.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Mrs. Lemere felt there should be a Washington State map available for the general public which would indicate the various departmental land holdings and park and recreational areas. It was noted that all state departments do have maps depicting those areas over which their departments have responsibility and that these are available for anyone upon request. However, the Chairman suggested the IAC staff look into another approach and come up with some suggestions for an informational brochure.

### II E Legislative Report

Due to the time element, this item was deleted from the agenda, it being assumed that everyone was aware of the action taken by the Legislature on the IAC budget and the other legislative bills in which the Committee had been interested.

### NEW BUSINESS

#### III Local Agency Reimbursement

At this point the Chairman deviated from the agenda and called upon Mr. Bishop for his comments on funding of local projects. Mr. Bishop suggested a policy and system be established by the IAC whereby local agencies would receive direct payment at the time their application is approved. This would replace the present policy of funding on a reimbursable basis. He explained this matter had been discussed at the Sunday briefing meeting, May 25, and it was the consensus that the IAC should review its present funding methods. Mr. Bell then instructed the staff of the IAC to prepare a report for the August meeting on the legality of this proposal and a resolution for adoption by the IAC should it be an acceptable policy. Further, he noted that staff should have available at the August meeting the revised Procedural Guidelines for evaluation and eventual adoption by the Committee.

*were at NOV. 1969 meeting*

#### III A Sale of Bonds and Interfund Loan

The Chairman then called upon Mr. Putnam in reference to memorandum of the IAC dated May 19, 1969, entitled, "Sale of Bonds." Mr. Putnam requested authorization for the Acting Administrator to request the State Finance Committee to sell \$4 million in Referendum 11 bonds in September, 1969; and stated that no sale of Referendum 18 bonds would be requested at this time because of the large amount of cash which would be available in October, 1969. Further, he asked that the Acting Administrator be given authority to arrange for an inter-fund loan of up to \$5 million should this become necessary. WHEREUPON MR. BERT COLE MOVED FOR ADOPTION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ADMINISTRATOR, WITH STIPULATION THAT THE NEGOTIATIONS

CONCERNING AN INTER-FUND LOAN BE AUTHORIZED WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT DETAILS OF SUCH LOAN WOULD BE DISCUSSED AT THE NEXT IAC MEETING. Mr. Kenn Cole pointed out that should Mr. Bishop's proposal for revising the policy of payments to local agencies be approved and immediate payment to local agencies set up as a policy, the Acting Administrator would also need to be given authority to negotiate the sale of some of the Referendum 18 bonds (to the limit of the 1969-71 biennium, or \$10,000,000). At this time, he said it was not possible to tell the impact of Mr. Bishop's proposal. It was Mr. Odegaard's opinion that the IAC already has authorization of the Legislature to request the sale of \$10,000,000 of Referendum 18 bonds, this being the prerogative of the IAC Administrator, Central Budget Agency and the State Finance Committee. Therefore, he felt the Acting Administrator of the IAC does have the legal authority to request the sale of the bonds at his own discretion.

Following discussion, MR. BERT COLE ACCEPTED AN AMENDMENT TO THE MOTION VOICED BY MR. BELL THAT THE ADMINISTRATOR BE GIVEN AUTHORITY WITHIN HIS DISCRETION AT SUCH TIME AS HE DEEMS FIT TO REQUEST THE STATE FINANCE COMMITTEE TO SELL \$10,000,000 OF REFERENDUM 18 BONDS SUBJECT TO REVIEW OF THE IAC AT THE NEXT MEETING IN AUGUST, 1969. MR. ODEGAARD SECONDED THE MOTION IN ITS ENTIRETY AND IT WAS CARRIED.

### III B Federal Reimbursement of Indirect State Costs

Attention was then called to memorandum of Mr. Putnam dated May 15, 1969, entitled, "Federal Reimbursement of Indirect State Costs." Mr. Kenn Cole reported that the Bureau of the Budget, Washington, D.C., has agreed to recognize demands of the states and build into future federal budget requests to the Congress funds to cover the states' indirect costs relative to these federal programs. The IAC cost allocation plan to determine indirect cost rate will be filed through the Central Budget Agency and from there referred with other State of Washington agency plans to the Federal Health, Education and Welfare agency. HEW will coordinate the various indirect cost allocation plans of the states and state agencies that are receiving grants under the various federal programs.

### III C Employment of Additional Staff

Reference is made to the IAC memorandum dated May 26, 1969, entitled, "Authority to Increase Staff". This item was discussed at the Sunday, May 25, briefing meeting and therefore was deleted from the Monday, May 26, agendum. The Acting Administrator had asked for authority to employ one Recreation Resource Specialist II, a Planner I and Planner II, and one clerk-typist II. These employees would begin employment with the IAC sometime after July 1, 1969. In Sunday's discussion, Mr. Odegaard pointed out that the IAC should give authorization to the Acting Administrator to employ whatever staff is required if such additional staff has already been authorized in the IAC budget. This could be done as the Administrator deems necessary. Thus the Administrator would not be required to propose already approved positions to the Committee each time employment of staff is contemplated. Though no official motion was made IT WAS THE CONSENSUS OF THE COMMITTEE THAT THE ACTING ADMINISTRATOR HAVE SUCH AUTHORITY AND THEREFORE THE MEMORANDUM OF MAY 26, 1969, WAS APPROVED BY THE COMMITTEE.

The Committee recessed for luncheon at 11:55 a.m. and reconvened at 1:55 p.m. Chairman Bell welcomed those present and asked that everyone sign the attendance registry for the official record.

III D Spokane River Waterfront presentation

Chairman Bell acknowledged receipt of a telegram from Mr. C. B. Ellis, President of C. B. Ellis Food Service, Inc., of Spokane, Washington, opposing granting the City of Spokane the right to purchase part of Havermale Island for use as a park. Mr. Ellis' telegram was turned over to the secretary for the official record.

Chairman Bell then introduced Mr. King Cole, Secretary, Spokane Unlimited, Inc., who in turn introduced the following citizens from the City of Spokane:

Mr. George Brunzell, President, Washington Water Power Company  
Member, Spokane Industrial Park

Mr. John Hieber, Past President of Spokane Unlimited, Inc., and  
representing Downtown Property Management

Mr. Roderick Lindsey, President, Lincoln First Federal Bank

Mr. Phil H. Stanton, President, Washington Trust Bank and  
current President of Spokane Unlimited, Inc.

Mr. William Fearn, Director, Department of Parks and Recreation

Mr. Thomas Adkinson, President, Spokane Park Board

Mr. Ray Penning, President, Spokane City Planning Commission

Mr. John O'Neill, representing the Citizens' Ad Hoc Committee for  
Recreation

Honorable Howard Ball, Commissioner, Spokane County Commissioners' Office

Mr. Vaughn Call, City Planning Director, Spokane City Planning Commission

Mr. King Cole asked Mr. Vaughn Call to present the slide program for the Spokane River Waterfront Development. A key map showing the land use concept for the Spokane River was shown and explained by Mr. Call. Appropriate slides showing various proposed development and acquisition projects in relation to the present land status of the river were shown. Booklets entitled "Phase I, Land Use Concept for the Spokane River" and "1968 Annual Report-Spokane City Plan Commission" were given to the secretary for the official records of the IAC. Mr. Call pointed out that the proposed plan would provide for the development of the recreational, esthetic and economic potential along the full length of the river. There would be modifications, additions and extensions to the program in future planning with the ultimate aim being to restore the use and beauty of the river to the people.

Upon completion of the slide presentation, Mr. Bell thanked Mr. Call and the representatives from the City of Spokane for a most thorough and enlightening program on their proposed project. He commended the community of Spokane for its excellent planning efforts thus far and expressed the hope that the IAC could be of assistance in its endeavors. State Representative A. J. Pardini and State Representative Jerry C. Kopet were then introduced to the group.

III F Approval of Local Projects

Chairman Bell deviated from the agenda and called upon Phil Clark to report on Item III F, memoranda of the IAC dated May 26, 1969, entitled, "Approval of Nineteen Pending Local Projects" and "Approval of Local Projects".

Mr. Bell suggested that in reference to each memorandum the report from Mr. Clark would include all of the projects listed and that the Committee would then vote on the entire list of projects as a whole. In response to a question from Mr. Biggs, it was agreed that a particular project could be set aside for separate discussion later if a committee member so desired.

Mr. Clark noted deletion of Kent's Lake Fenwick project making a total of 18 projects to be considered. These projects had been given qualified approval at the November 25, 1968, IAC meeting, pending availability of Referendum 11 funds. Mr. Clark then read each project and noted percentages allotted to each from Referendum 11, Initiative 215, or HUD. He also pointed out that project No. 19 (renumbered 18), Fish Lake, Spokane County, would be funded seventy-five percent (75%) from Referendum 11 funds (\$7,500) instead of a portion from Initiative 215. Noting these changes, IT WAS MOVED BY MRS. LEMERE, AND SECONDED BY MR. ODEGAARD, THAT

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FINDS THE EIGHTEEN (18) PROJECTS LISTED BELOW TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN, ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969;

	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>TOTAL COST</u>	<u>REF. 11</u>	<u>INIT. 215</u>	<u>HUD</u>
1.	Oak Harbor	Oak Harbor Beach	\$ 39,000	\$ 19,500	\$ 9,750	\$
2.	Auburn	Cedar Lane	65,320	48,990		
3.	Brier	Brier Park	49,800	37,350		
4.	King County	Green River	223,000	55,750		111,500
5.	Kirkland	Houghton Beach	365,000	91,250		182,500
6.	Kitsap County	Wicks Lake	20,000	15,000		
7.	Lynnwood	Dale Way	57,250	42,937		
8.	Lynnwood	Lynnwood Park	37,550	28,162		
9.	Seattle	Chittenden Locks	475,000	118,750		237,500
10.	Seattle	Mini Program #1*	340,500	85,125		170,250
11.	Seattle	Greenwood	360,000	90,000		180,000
12.	Seattle	Matthews Beach	417,500	52,188	52,187	208,750
13.	Snohomish Co.	Lake Roesiger	134,300	100,725		
14.	Yarrow Point	Morningside	43,000	32,250		
15.	Clark County	Moulton Falls	22,000	16,500		
16.	Ridgefield	Ridgefield Park	25,000	18,750		
17.	Spokane	Drumheller	61,000	45,750		
18.	Spokane Co.	Fish Lake	10,000	7,500		
		<u>Totals</u>	<u>\$2,745,220</u>	<u>\$906,477</u>	<u>\$61,937</u>	<u>\$1,090,500</u>

\* Including Belmont, Thomas, Spruce, Firehouse, and EXCLUDING Roy (Act Theater lot)

AND, WHEREAS, THE COMMITTEE FIND THE ABOVE LISTED AGENCIES HAVE COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF SUBMITTING TO THE COMMITTEE A SIX-YEAR PLAN FOR ACQUIRING AND DEVELOPING OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES WITHIN ITS AUTHORITY, INCLUDING NECESSARY SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS, AND HAVE SATISFIED THE CRITERIA PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE; AND

WHEREAS, SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION BY THE COMMITTEE TO MEET PROGRAM COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARINE RECREATION LAND ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED, (CHAPTER 5, LAWS OF 1965, CHAPTER 43.99 RCW, AS AMENDED), THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 11, CHAPTER 12, LAWS OF 1963, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION, CHAPTER 43.98 RCW) AND THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 18, CHAPTER 126, LAWS OF 1967, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION) SUBJECT TO SECURING AN ALLOTMENT WHERE NECESSARY FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE COMMITTEE HEREBY APPROVES THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS IN THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN AMOUNTS NOT TO EXCEED THOSE LISTED FOR EACH PROJECT ABOVE, PROVIDED THAT THE ABOVE LISTED AGENCIES SHALL EXECUTE ALL ASSURANCES AND CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS REQUIRED BY THE COMMITTEE AND SHALL PERFORM AND COMPLY WITH ALL PROVISIONS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SAME.

MOTION WAS CARRIED.

Mr. Bell then asked for Committee reaction to the May 26, 1969, IAC memo entitled, "Approval of Local Projects" which had been distributed by Mr. Clark. Mr. Biggs asked that the High Bridge project for Spokane, \$650,000, be set aside for discussion later on. Mr. Clark explained to the members that the memorandum before them was in lieu of the memo discussed at the Sunday, May 25, briefing session. Thirty-eight project applications were eligible for approval, and of these, sixteen were being presented to the committee. He read each project and the allocation proposed for same from Initiative 215, Referendum 11, Referendum 18, or federal sources. Corrections were made to the listing as follows:

Horseshoe Lake, Kitsap County	\$192,750 to be allocated from Referendum 11 rather than Referendum 18
Lake Washington Blvd., Seattle	\$131,250 to be allocated from Referendum 18 rather than Referendum 11

MR. BERT COLE MOVED THAT THE PROJECTS AS LISTED WITH CORRECTIONS BE APPROVED FOR FUNDING WITH THE EXCEPTION OF HIGH BRIDGE, SPOKANE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$650,000. MOTION WAS SECONDED BY MR. LOFGREN.

Mr. Cole then asked that the record indicate his pleasure to see multiple-use practiced in the Bellingham and Bremerton projects relative to the use of watershed lands for recreation. He gave his personal commendation to the people in Bellingham, Whatcom, and Kitsap counties and Bremerton, for their farsightedness and expressed the hope that the City of Tacoma and City of Seattle would take recognition of what is being done in this direction and adopt the same principles relative to watersheds. Mr. Biggs concurred.

Discussion followed on the allocation being made to Bremerton for a golf course. Mr. Odegaard stated his feelings that it might be considered inappropriate for the IAC to fund this golf course when the Committee has already committed itself to a policy of not funding marinas because of the fact that private enterprise or concessionaires are involved in these types of operations. In both cases he felt there was profit being made by individuals. He asked if this approval would establish a precedent or a re-evaluation of the policy established some time ago not to fund marina facilities. Mr. Bell asked for staff comment. Phil Clark explained that in the Bellingham golf course (Lake Padden project), the IAC would not be involved in the golf course development, but only in recreational facilities. As for the Bremerton project, he explained that the staff is recommending approval of the golf course itself exclusive of the buildings. He pointed out that the policy of the IAC regarding marina facilities is that they are of low priority except that boat launching ramps and supporting day-use or overnight moorage facilities are priority projects.

Mr. Bell then noted that the Chairman at the Sunday briefing meeting on May 25 had requested that the Technical Committee evaluate this discussion and prepare a report for the Committee whereby it could arrive at a policy decision. It was his assumption the staff would pursue this and evaluate the matter for the Committee at the August meeting.

Mr. Herbert Olson, Parks and Recreation Department, Bellingham, was then recognized. He thanked the IAC for its consideration of the Bellingham (Lake Padden) project and pointed out that the brochure which he had read concerning Referendum 18 monies states that golf courses would be eligible for funding.

At this point, MR. ROTTLER CALLED FOR THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FINDS THE PROJECTS LISTED BELOW TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN, ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969;

<u>REGION</u>	<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>TOTAL COST</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>	<u>INIT. 215</u>	<u>REF. 11</u>	<u>REF. 18</u>	<u>OTHER</u>
3	Bellingham	Lake Padden	\$467,526	\$116,883	\$	\$	\$350,643	\$
4	Bellevue	Enatai	77,406	38,703			38,703	
	Bremerton	Golf Course	295,700	167,675			128,025	
	Kitsap Co.	Horseshoe Lk.	257,000	64,250		192,750		
	Mercer Is.	W. Mercer	158,500	39,625		118,875		
	Renton	Renton #1	434,000	108,500	173,600	151,900		
	Seattle	Beacon Hill	360,000	90,000			90,000	180,000
	Seattle	Lk. Wn. Blvd.	175,000	43,750			131,250	
	Seattle	Mini Parks #2	70,000	17,500			17,500	35,000
6	Longview	Longview Pk.	155,000	38,750			116,250	
7	Chelan Co.	Wenatchee River	145,000	36,250			108,750	
	Wenatchee	Lincoln Park	40,000	10,000			30,000	
8	Yakima	Hallauer	15,000	3,750		11,250		
10	Benton Co.	Two Rivers	40,000	10,000	4,000		6,000	20,000
	Spokane Co.	Fish Lake	50,000	12,500			37,500	
			<u>\$2,740,132</u>	<u>\$798,136</u>	<u>\$177,600</u>	<u>\$474,775</u>	<u>\$1,054,621</u>	<u>\$235,000</u>

AND, WHEREAS, THE COMMITTEE FIND THE LISTED AGENCIES HAVE COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF SUBMITTING TO THE COMMITTEE A SIX-YEAR PLAN FOR ACQUIRING AND DEVELOPING OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES WITHIN ITS AUTHORITY, INCLUDING NECESSARY SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS, AND HAVE SATISFIED THE CRITERIA PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE: AND

WHEREAS, SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION BY THE COMMITTEE TO MEET PROGRAM COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARINE RECREATION LAND ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED, (CHAPTER 5, LAWS OF 1965, CHAPTER 43.99 RCW, AS AMENDED), THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 11, CHAPTER 12, LAWS OF 1963, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION, CHAPTER 43.98 RCW) AND THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 18, CHAPTER 126, LAWS OF 1967, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION) SUBJECT TO SECURING AN ALLOTMENT WHERE NECESSARY FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE COMMITTEE HEREBY APPROVES THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS IN THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN AMOUNTS NOT TO EXCEED THOSE LISTED FOR EACH PROJECT, PROVIDED THAT THE LISTED AGENCIES SHALL EXECUTE ALL ASSURANCES AND CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS REQUIRED BY THE COMMITTEE AND SHALL PERFORM AND COMPLY WITH ALL PROVISIONS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SAME.

THE MOTION WAS CARRIED.

The Committee's attention was then directed to Spokane's High Bridge project. In answer to Mr. Biggs' question as to why the High Bridge project had received priority over the Havermale Island Acquisition project, Phil Clark replied the reason was the amount of money being requested. IAC funds, he said, must be fairly distributed and allocated to many projects proposed by various communities and counties. Mr. Bishop pointed out that the Havermale project is for a total of \$1,123,900 and a request from the IAC had been made for \$842,925 or seventy-five percent (75%) funding. He inquired whether there were any additional federal funds which could be given to this project thus reducing the amount requested of the IAC. Mr. King Cole stated the City of Spokane had been in contact with HUD recently and the possibility did exist that fifty percent (50%) federal funding might be available. He then suggested funding by the IAC of twenty-five percent (25%) for each project even though the applications had requested seventy-five percent (75%).

At this point, Commissioner Ball arose and was recognized. He explained that it was necessary for him to leave the meeting to keep an appointment, that he wanted to express his appreciation to the IAC members on behalf of the Board of County Commissioners for the consideration given on development of the projects for the ~~Spokane River~~  
*Fish Lake*. Chairman Bell thanked him for his interest and attendance.

On further discussion of the two Spokane projects (Havermale Island and High Bridge), Mr. Neal of HUD explained that he had not yet had the opportunity to meet with the City of Spokane to determine if the projects are technically qualified for funds under the HUD program. However, he felt there were aspects of the program as presented at the meeting which his agency would be able to fund.

Mr. L. M. Bennett of G & A Realty Company, Spokane, then rose, was recognized, and spoke as a representative of his agency. He stated there were plans by G & A Realty to place a space needle type operation on Havermale Island.

Mr. Odegaard then asked that the slide of Havermale Island be again placed on the screen for discussion. In response to his questioning, Mr. Call indicated on the slide where the piece of property was which the IAC had already helped to purchase. He also clarified the position of the railroad company, stating the land the railroad owned would at some future time either be acquired by the City or the railroad might donate it for recreational purposes. There was also discussion on Cannon Island and its place in the overall plan for public use.

Mr. Neal advised that the City of Spokane had been told it could make application for projects through HUD. Havermale Island, he said, might have a low priority but he pointed out that HUD had funded other low priority projects in the northwest area and it would be willing to review and consider funding of this also. Therefore, if Spokane could have its application in to HUD prior to the August IAC meeting, it could be assured of proper review. Mr. Bell and Committee members felt the City of Spokane should get HUD's consent and review if at all possible for these projects. Mr. Neal then stated that if the IAC could fund each of the projects at twenty-five percent (25%), HUD might be able to assist with fifty percent (50%).

Mr. Bell then asked the pleasure of the Committee on the two projects. THEREUPON MR. ODEGAARD MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. BISHOP, THAT THE IAC FUND THE HAVERMALE PROJECT AT TWENTY-FIVE PERCENT (25%) AND THE HIGH BRIDGE PROJECT AT TWENTY-FIVE PERCENT (25%) AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FINDS THE PROJECTS LISTED BELOW TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN, ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969;

<u>AGENCY</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>	<u>REF. 11</u>	<u>REF. 18</u>	<u>OTHER</u>
Spokane	High Bridge	\$ 650,000	\$162,500	\$162,500		\$325,000 possibly HUD
Spokane	Havermale Is.	1,123,900	280,975		\$280,975	561,950 possibly HUD

AND, WHEREAS, THE COMMITTEE FINDS THAT SPOKANE HAS COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF SUBMITTING TO THE COMMITTEE A SIX-YEAR PLAN FOR ACQUIRING AND DEVELOPING OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES WITHIN ITS AUTHORITY, INCLUDING NECESSARY SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS, AND HAVE SATISFIED THE CRITERIA PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE; AND

WHEREAS, SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION BY THE COMMITTEE TO MEET PROGRAM COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARINE RECREATION LAND ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED, (CHAPTER 5, LAWS OF 1965, CHAPTER 43.99 RCW, AS AMENDED), THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 11, CHAPTER 12, LAWS OF 1963, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION, CHAPTER 43.98 RCW) AND THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 18, CHAPTER 126, LAWS OF 1967, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION) SUBJECT TO SECURING AN ALLOTMENT WHERE NECESSARY FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE COMMITTEE HEREBY APPROVES THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS IN THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN AMOUNTS NOT TO EXCEED THOSE LISTED FOR EACH PROJECT, PROVIDED THAT THE CITY OF SPOKANE SHALL EXECUTE ALL ASSURANCES AND CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS REQUIRED BY THE COMMITTEE AND SHALL PERFORM AND COMPLY WITH ALL PROVISIONS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SAME.

Mr. Bishop pointed out that Spokane has been afforded the opportunity through this motion of submitting applications on both projects, that at the August IAC meeting the City could submit other developments which it might like to have considered. Mr. Bishop then asked for the question on the motion AND IT WAS CARRIED.

Model Neighborhood Project (69-085A), City of Seattle - The Chairman then recognized Mr. John Alley, City of Seattle, Parks and Recreation Department, who asked that the Committee members listen to Mr. Nate Long of the Model Cities and Youth Task Force of the City of Seattle, concerning the project proposed on land east of Auburn formerly used by the Al Bianchi Basketball Summer Camp. Mr. Long spoke of the need for this area for underprivileged youth who are unable to travel and participate in activities offered at state parks and other areas for public use. He outlined the plans for attendance and for activities such as day camping, resident camping, recreational sports and outdoor education. The IAC members questioned Mr. Long on the proposed operations of the camp and future ownership should the camp at some time cease operating under the Model Cities program. Mr. Long said the facility would then belong to the City of Seattle. Mr. Odegaard stated his department had nine group camps similar to the one proposed by Mr. Long and asked if he could meet with Mr. Long and perhaps work out some type of cooperative program with him.

Following further discussion, MR. BELL DIRECTED THE STAFF TO INVESTIGATE THE MODEL NEIGHBORHOOD PROJECT PROPOSED BY MR. LONG'S GROUP AND REPORT BACK TO THE IAC MEMBERS IN AUGUST AS TO THEIR FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.

Mr. Tom Adkinson, Spokane Park Board President, was then recognized by the Chairman. He expressed his appreciation and that of other members of the Spokane Park Board for the consideration given their Spokane River Project by the IAC.

### III E Operating Procedures

III E 1 Quarterly Funding of Local Projects - Attention was called to staff memorandum dated May 26, 1969, entitled "Quarterly Funding of Local Projects". Staff recommended to the Committee that it fund local projects at each of the regularly scheduled quarterly meetings, provided that funds are available in the Outdoor Recreation Account for allocation to local agencies. Following Mr. Clark's explanation of the memorandum, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ODEGAARD, SECONDED BY MR. LOFGREN THAT FUNDING OF LOCAL PROJECTS AT QUARTERLY MEETINGS BE APPROVED. MOTION WAS CARRIED.

III E 2 Retroactive Funding - Reference was then made to staff memorandum of May 26, 1969, entitled, "Preliminary Consent to Proceed with Projects." It was recommended by staff that the Committee adopt a letter of consent policy, in which applicant agencies may be authorized to proceed with acquisition of land

prior to Committee project approval, with such a letter of consent to be authorized at the discretion of the Administrator when:

- (1) The applicant agency has submitted an application for the acquisition of land, and
- (2) The applicant has requested that they be allowed to proceed with acquisition of the property set forth in the application, giving a fully documented account of why it is not possible to wait for Committee approval of the project to acquire the property.
- (3) The applicant understands that if the letter of consent is authorized and the acquisition undertaken, it is done so entirely at the applicant's own risk.

Letter of consent limitation policy

The letter of consent policy will be based upon the following limitation:

From the date on which the letter of consent is authorized, the project will remain eligible for IAC funding for the next two consecutive quarterly IAC meetings. If the project is not approved at either of those two meetings, it becomes ineligible for further IAC funding consideration whether or not the property has been acquired.

Following explanation of the staff memorandum of recommendation, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ODEGAARD, SECONDED BY MR. LOFGREN, THAT THE IAC ADOPT THE LETTER OF CONSENT POLICY AS STATED ABOVE WITH THE PROVISIO THAT THERE WILL BE NO LIMITATION SET ON USE OF THE LETTER BY PROJECT APPLICANTS TO ASSIST THEM IN EITHER SECURING LOCAL FUNDS, OR IN NEGOTIATIONS WITH PROPERTY OWNERS, OR TO INFLUENCE OTHER POSSIBLE GRANT ASSISTANCE. THE MOTION WAS CARRIED.

III G 1969-71 Biennium State Agency Presentations

III G 1 (a) Department of Game - Mr. Stan Scott, Department of Game, called attention to publication of his department entitled, "Proposed Projects for Interagency Committee Funding, 1969-1971 Biennium." He outlined the departmental program as presented in the booklet.

III G 1 (b) Boat Launching Development Project - Department of Game - Memorandum of staff dated May 26, 1969, entitled, "Department of Game Boat Launching Project" was then referred to by Mr. Scott. Following his presentation, MR. COLE MOVED, SECONDED BY MR. LOFGREN, THAT,

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION FINDS THE GAME DEPARTMENT'S BOAT LAUNCHING DEVELOPMENT PROJECT AS SET FORTH IN THE MEMORANDUM TO THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE, ENTITLED, "REQUEST TO APPROVE DEVELOPMENT OF APPROXIMATELY 50 BOAT LAUNCHING SITES FOR \$400,000" TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN, ADOPTED BY THIS COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969; AND

WHEREAS, THE COMMITTEE FINDS THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME HAS COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF SUBMITTING TO THE COMMITTEE A SIX-YEAR PLAN FOR ACQUIRING AND DEVELOPING OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES WITHIN ITS AUTHORITY, INCLUDING NECESSARY SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS, AND HAS SATISFIED THE CRITERIA PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE; AND

WHEREAS, SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION BY THE COMMITTEE TO MEET PROGRAM COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARINE RECREATION LAND ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED, (CHAPTER 5, LAWS OF 1965, CHAPTER 43.99 RCW, AS AMENDED), THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 11, CHAPTER 12, LAWS OF 1963, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION, CHAPTER 43.98 RCW) AND THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 18, CHAPTER 126, LAWS OF 1967, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION) SUBJECT TO SECURING AN ALLOTMENT WHERE NECESSARY FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE COMMITTEE HEREBY APPROVES THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS IN THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$400,000, PROVIDED THAT THE GAME DEPARTMENT SHALL EXECUTE ALL ASSURANCES AND CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS REQUIRED BY THE COMMITTEE AND SHALL PERFORM AND COMPLY WITH ALL PROVISIONS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SAME.

At this point, MR. ROTTLER AMENDED THE MOTION TO STATE THAT THE APPORTIONMENT FROM REFERENDUM 215 FUNDS APPLY ONLY TO THOSE SITES SUITABLE FOR RECREATIONAL USE BY POWERED WATERCRAFT. MR. ODEGAARD SECONDED THE AMENDMENT.

There followed considerable discussion on the use of Referendum 18 and Initiative 215 funds for the water access program. Mr. Scott pointed out that under the Game Department proposal Initiative 215 funds would be used for continued acquisition of water access sites, whereas Referendum 18 funds would be used for boat launching sites. The funds being sought, he said, would be from Referendum 18 for development.

Mr. Bell asked if the Game Department proposed camping areas on any of the sites and if so, he asked should not these be more in the province of the Department of Natural Resources or State Parks and Recreation Commission rather than the Department of Game? Mr. Scott referred to Page (9) of the Departmental 1969-71 proposal booklet, item "Key Ecological or Other Area Types", wherein it is proposed that the Game Department develop day-use and camping facilities on existing areas such as Oak Creek Game Range; Colockum Game Range, etc. The Game Department has found, he said, that in providing boat launching sites, it has also been necessary to provide camping for the people who utilize the areas. Later on the Game Department will be presenting camping proposals to the IAC in conjunction with its ~~boat launching sites~~ <sup>key ecological areas</sup>. Mr. Bell stated he felt this was not the province of the Game Department and that these camping facilities

should be provided through an intercooperative agreement between state agencies.

MR. ODEGAARD THEN CALLED FOR THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION AS AMENDED, AND IT WAS CARRIED. SITES ARE AS LISTED AS ADDENDUM TO THESE MINUTES. (SEE ADDENDUM "A").

III G 1 (c) Statewide Water Access Program for the 1969-71 Biennium, Department of Game - Mr. Scott referred to the memorandum from staff, dated May 26, 1969, entitled, "Statewide Water Access Program for the 1969-71 Biennium." Slides were shown depicting typical examples of boat launching site areas and pedestrian streambank easement areas which the Department of Game would be reviewing for possible acquisition during the next biennium.

Following Mr. Scott's presentation, IT WAS MOVED BY MR. ROTTLER, SECONDED BY MR. BIGGS, THAT

WHEREAS, THE INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR OUTDOOR RECREATION FINDS THE 1969-71 STATEWIDE WATER ACCESS PROGRAM, TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEWIDE OUTDOOR RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PLAN, ADOPTED BY THIS COMMITTEE ON APRIL 8, 1969; AND

WHEREAS, THE COMMITTEE FINDS THE DEPARTMENT OF GAME HAS COMPLIED WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF SUBMITTING TO THE COMMITTEE A SIX-YEAR PLAN FOR ACQUIRING AND DEVELOPING OUTDOOR RECREATION FACILITIES WITHIN ITS AUTHORITY, INCLUDING NECESSARY SIX-YEAR CAPITAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS, AND HAS SATISFIED THE CRITERIA PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED BY THE COMMITTEE; AND

WHEREAS, SUFFICIENT FUNDS ARE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION BY THE COMMITTEE TO MEET PROGRAM COSTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE MARINE RECREATION LAND ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED, (CHAPTER 5, LAWS OF 1965, CHAPTER 43.99 RCW, AS AMENDED), THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 11, CHAPTER 12, LAWS OF 1963, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION, CHAPTER 43.98 RCW AND THE OUTDOOR RECREATION BOND ISSUE (REFERENDUM 18, CHAPTER 126, LAWS OF 1967, EXTRA-ORDINARY SESSION) SUBJECT TO SECURING AN ALLOTMENT WHERE NECESSARY FOR THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS FROM OTHER AUTHORITIES;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE COMMITTEE HEREBY APPROVES THE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS IN THE OUTDOOR RECREATION ACCOUNT IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$763,274 PROVIDED THAT THE GAME DEPARTMENT SHALL EXECUTE ALL ASSURANCES AND CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS REQUIRED BY THE COMMITTEE AND SHALL PERFORM AND COMPLY WITH ALL PROVISIONS, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SAME;

WITH THE PROVISIO VOICED BY MR. ROTTLER THAT INITIATIVE 215 MONIES BE MADE AVAILABLE ONLY TO THOSE SITE ACQUISITIONS ADJACENT TO WATERS SUITABLE AND SAFE FOR THE USE OF POWERED BOATS USED FOR FISHING AND OTHER GENERAL BOATING ACTIVITIES.

Both Mr. Bell and Mrs. Lemere opposed the PROVISIO to the motion, stating that monies from Initiative 215 should be used for water use where needs are adequately met for all the general public, that powered motor boat owners are also receiving benefits from funds in other areas and there should be no restriction on Initiative 215 funds as voiced by Mr. Rottler. Further, Mr. Bell stated there did not appear to be any reference in the law to funding of only water access areas which could be used by motor boat owners but that the intent of the Legislature in authorizing Initiative 215 funds was for any boat, vessel or other

watercraft use as well as powered motor boats.

MRS. LEMERE THEN AMENDED THE MOTION TO STIPULATE THAT AS NEARLY AS PRACTICAL THE APPORTIONMENT OF INITIATIVE 215 MONIES BE USED FOR THOSE AREAS SUITABLE FOR THE SAFE USE OF POWERED MOTOR BOATS. MR. BIGGS SECONDED THE AMENDMENT. MR. COLE THEN CALLED FOR THE QUESTION ON THE MOTION.

TWO MEMBERS WERE IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION; FOUR OPPOSED; AND TWO DID NOT VOTE. THE MOTION DID NOT PASS.

Mr. Bert Cole suggested that the staff of the IAC investigate this problem and come up with some recommendations at the next IAC meeting.

MR. COLE THEN MOVED ON THE ORIGINAL STAFF RECOMMENDED MOTION WITHOUT THE PROVISIO AS VOICED BY MR. ROTTLER. THE MOTION WAS CARRIED, WITH MR. ROTTLER VOTING "NO".

Mr. Lofgren requested that staff include in a report to the IAC members on boat launching sites and water access areas those sites already in existence and indicate which are for powered boats and which are not.

III G 2 State Parks and Recreation Commission - Mr. Odegaard stated he had no further presentation for the State Parks and Recreation Commission, but would be prepared for a more detailed ~~biennial program report~~ <sup>report</sup> at the August meeting *on the Puget Sound, adjacent waters Boating Access proposal.*

H August meeting date

Mr. Rottler suggested the August meeting be held at Rosario, the San Juan Islands, August 24-25. Staff was instructed by the Chairman to make reservations and advise the members.

III G 3 Expressions of Opinions by IAC - Chairman Bell instructed the staff to prepare a report on the Ben Franklin Dam proposal of the Corps of Engineers, sending a copy to each member of the Committee with recommendations as to whether the IAC should make comment to the Governor.

MOTION WAS MADE BY MR. COLE FOR ADJOURNMENT, SECONDED BY MR. LOFGREN AND CARRIED. 5:50 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,

E. V. PUTNAM  
Acting Administrator

RATIFIED BY COMMITTEE

*as corrected*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Lewis A. Bell*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

LEWIS A. BELL, CHAIRMAN, IAC

PROPOSED BOATING DEVELOPMENT

1969 - 1971 BIENNIAL

ADDENDUM 'A'

FY 1970

<u>REGION</u>	<u>SITE</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>LOCATION</u>
I	None			
II	Chehalis R.-S. Montesano	Grays Harbor	S18 T17N R7W	1 mi. S. Montesano
	Willapa R. - Wilson Creek	Pacific	S27 T14N R8W	5 mi. NE Raymond
	Island Lake	Mason	S6 T20N R3W	6 mi. N. Shelton
	Lost Lake	Mason	S1 T19N R5W	15 mi. S. Shelton
III	Skagit R. - Pressentin Creek	Skagit	S13 T35N R7E	3 mi. SW Concrete
	Lone Lake	Island	S5 T29N R3E	5 mi. SE Freeland
	Weiser Lake	Whatcom	S6 T39N R3E	3 mi. S. Lynden
IV	Steel Lake	King	S9 T21N R4E	1 mi. S. Fed. Way
	Walker Lake	King	S34 T21N R7E	2 mi. E Cumberland
	Shadow Lake	King	S7 T22N R6E	9 mi. S Blk. Diamond
	Stevens Lake	Snohomish	S8 T29N R6E	City Lake Stevens
V	Clear Lake	Thurston	S6 T15N R3E	25 mi. SE Olympia
	Long Lake	Thurston	S27 T18N R1W	3 mi. Lacey
	Cowlitz River - Interstate #5	Lewis	S27 T11N R2W	1 mi. SW Toledo
	Cowlitz River - Massey Bar	Lewis	S9 T11N R1W	1 mi. N. Toledo
	Clear Lake	Pearce	S17 T17N R4E	6 mi. N. Eatonville
VI	Elochoman River	Wahkiakum	S31 T9N R5W	5 mi. NE Cathlamet
	Cowlitz River-Olequa Ck.	Cowlitz	S9 T10N R2W	8 mi. N. Castle Rock
	N. Lewis River	Clark	S12 T5N R1E	7 mi. E Woodland
	Washougal River	Clark	S32 T2N R4E	3 mi. N Washougal
	Klickitat River	Klickitat	S18 T4N R14E	2 mi. E Klickitat
VII	Upper Conconully	Okanogan	S5 T35N R25E	1/2 mi. E Conconully
	Patterson Lake	Okanogan	S8 T34N R21E	8 mi. W. Winthrop
	Big Twin Lake	Okanogan	S15 T34N R21E	4 mi. SW Winthrop
	Jameson Lake	Douglas	S12 T25N R25E	20 mi. NE Waterville
VIII	Yakima River	Kittitas	S28 T15N R19E	12 mi. N of Yakima
	Yakima River	Kittitas	S20 T16N R19E	12 mi. S. Ellensburg
	Yakima River	Kittitas	S4 T15N R19E	17 mi. N Ellensburg

PROPOSED BOATING DEVELOPMENT

1969 - 1971 BIENNIAL

FY 1970  
(Continued)

<u>SYMBOL</u>	<u>SITE</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>LOCATION</u>
IX	Blue Lake	Grant	S20 T24N R27E	12 mi. Coulee City (N)
	Wanapum Lake	Grant	S6 T17N R23E	5 mi. N. Vantage
	Windmill Lake	Grant	S28 T17N R29E	14 mi. N Moses Lake
	Blyth Reservoir	Grant	S7 T17N R28E	17 mi. S. Moses Lake
	Fish Trap Lake	Lincoln	S36 T22N R39E	9 mi. NE Sprague
X	None			
XI	Jump Off Joe Lake	Stevens	S31 T31N R41E	5 mi. N Valley
	Loon Lake	Stevens	S33 T30N R41E	2 1/4 mi. NE Loon Lake
	Diamond Lake	Pend Oreille	S N 1/2 Sec. 1 T30N R44E	10 mi. N Newport
	Sacheen Lake	Pend Oreille	S25 T43E R31N	20 mi. E Newport
	Davis Lake	Pend Oreille	S31 T32N R44E	
XII	West Medical Lake	Spokane	S24 T24N R40E	1/2 mi. SW Medical Lake
	Badger Lake	Spokane	S4 T21N R41E	12 mi. S Cheney
	Newman	Spokane	S2 T26N R45E	18 mi. E. Spokane
	Liberty Lake	Spokane	S23 T25N R45E	15 mi. E Spokane
	Clear Lake	Spokane	S1 T23N R40E	1 mi. S Medical Lake
	Amber Lake	Spokane	S36 T22N R40E	15 mi. SW Cheney
	Silver Lake	Spokane	S17 T24N R41E	1/2 mi. E Medical Lake
XIII	Grande Ronde River	Asotin	S24 T7N R46E	30 mi. S Asotin

TOTAL OF 46 SITES